



(<https://www.elsevier.com>)  
**ELSEVIER**  
**ELSEVIER**

Q SEARCH

≡ MENU

Home (<https://www.elsevier.com/>) > Journals (<https://www.elsevier.com/catalog?producttype...>)

> Journal of Cleaner Production (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production>)



(<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/09596526>)

ISSN: 0959-6526

# Journal of Cleaner Production

Co-Editors-in-Chief: Jiří Jaromír Klemeš (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/jiri-jaromir-klemes>), Cecília Maria Villas Bôas de Almeida (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/cecilia-maria-villas-boas-de-almeida>), Yutao Wang (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/yutao-wang>)

> View Editorial Board (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board>)

> CiteScore: 10.9 ⓘ Impact Factor: 7.246 ⓘ

Submit Your Paper (<https://www.editorialmanager.com/JCLEPRO>)

Supports Open Access (<https://www.elsevier.com/journals/journal-of-cleaner-production/0959-6526/open-access-options>)

View Articles (<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/09596526>)

f (/jou (http  
 rnal- s://w  
 (http of- ww.e  
 ://w clean lsevi  
 ww.f er- er.co  
 aceb prod m/Pr  
 ook. uctio efere  
 com/ n/rss nceC  
 elsev ) entre  
 ieren )  
 viron  
 men  
 t)



Track Your Paper



Order Journal



Journal Metrics

> CiteScore: **10.9** ⓘ

Impact Factor: **7.246** ⓘ

5-Year Impact Factor: **7.491** ⓘ

Source Normalized Impact per Paper (SNIP): **2.394** ⓘ

SCImago Journal Rank (SJR): **1.886** ⓘ

> View More on Journal Insights

---

Your Research Data

> Share your research data (<https://www.elsevier.com/authors/author-resources/research-data>)

> Data in Brief co-submission (<https://www.elsevier.com/authors/author-resources/research-data/data-articles/DIB-co-submission>)

> MethodsX co-submission (<https://www.elsevier.com/authors/author-resources/research-data/materials-and-methods/mex-co-submission>)

## Related Links



- > Author Resources (<https://www.elsevier.com/authors/author-resources>)
- > Try out personalized alert features

## Related Publications

- Cleaner and Responsible Consumption (<https://www.elsevier.com/locate/inca/747685>)
- Cleaner Engineering and Technology (<https://www.elsevier.com/locate/inca/747684>)
- Cleaner Environmental Systems (<https://www.elsevier.com/locate/inca/747683>)
- Cleaner Production Letters (<https://www.elsevier.com/locate/inca/747682>)

The ***Journal of Cleaner Production*** is an international, transdisciplinary journal focusing on Cleaner Production, Environmental, and Sustainability research and practice. Through our published articles, we aim at helping societies become more sustainable.

'Cleaner Production' is a concept that aims at...

[Read more](#)

[Most Downloaded](#) [Recent Articles](#) [Most Cited](#) [Open Access Articles](#)

Food waste matters - A systematic review of household food waste practices and their policy implications - Open access

Karin Schanes | Karin Dobernig | ...

Environmental impact of textile reuse and recycling – A review - Open access



> View All Most Downloaded Articles (https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/most-downloaded-articles)

Most Downloaded Articles



Recent Articles



Most Cited Articles



Recent Open Access Articles



f (/jou (http  
rnal- s://w  
(http of- ww.e  
://w clean lsevi  
wwf er- er.co  
aceb prod m/Pr  
oo/ n/rss nceC  
elsev ) entre  
ieren )  
vir (ht  
men  
t) locating-publications-relevant-to-eac

Announcements (https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/announcements)

You can play a part in locating publications relevant to each SDG

(https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/announcements/you-can-play-a-part-in-locating-publications-relevant-to-eac)

Elsevier working with researchers like you to build an understanding of the knowledge base related to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals.

In support of equality, inclusion & diversity (https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/announcements/in-support-of-equality-inclusion-diversity)

Elsevier stands against racism and discrimination and fully supports the joint commitment for action in inclusion and diversity in publishing.

In partnership with the communities we serve; we redouble our deep commitment to inclusion and diversity within our editorial, author and reviewer networks.

> View All (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/announcements>)

## Latest Mendeley Data Datasets (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/mendeley-data>)

Mendeley Data Repository is free-to-use and open access. It enables you to deposit any research data (including raw and processed data, video, code, software, algorithms, protocols, and methods) associated with your research manuscript. Your datasets will also be searchable on Mendeley Data Search, which includes nearly 11 million indexed datasets. For more information, visit Mendeley Data.

Data for: Bipolar membrane electro dialysis for energetically competitive ammonium removal and dissolved ammonia production

Niels van Linden

6 files (2020)

Data for: Carbon emission performance and quota allocation in the Bohai Rim Economic Circle

Mei Song

1 file (2020)

Data for: Development of a low-cost PV system using an improved INC algorithm and a PV panel Proteus model

Saad Motahhir

1 file (2020)

> View All (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/mendeley-data>)

## News (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/news>)

Executive Editor Team Announcement (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/news/executive-editor-team-announcement>)

A new era for the Journal of Cleaner Production (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/news/a-new-era-for-the-journal-of-cleaner-production>)

At the Journal of Cleaner Production (JCLP) our aim is simple – to reduce waste and promote sustainable use of essential resources like water and energy.

Environmental impact of rocket launches must be taken seriously

(<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/news/environmental-impact-of-rocket->



## Special Issues (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/special-issues>)

Special issues published in Journal of Cleaner Production.

Why and how to achieve Sustainable Resource-Efficient and Effective Solutions based on circular econ  
Mattias Lindahl | Tomohiko Sakao | ...

Cleaner Production for Human and Environmental Wellbeing

Gabriella Fiorentino | Marco Raugi | ...

Understanding and Managing Product Lifetimes in support of a Circular Economy

Conny Bakker | Ruth Mugge | ...

> [View All \(https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/special-issues\)](https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/special-issues)

## Article Collections (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/article-collections>)

Sustainable Public Procurement: Moving to more sustainable societies

(<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/article-collections/sustainable-public-procurement-moving-to-more-sustainable>)

> [View All \(https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/article-collections\)](https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/article-collections)

## Virtual Special Issues (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/virtual-special-issues>)

Virtual Special Issues

Clean Energy for Clean Environment (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/virtual-special-issues/clean-energy-for-clean-environment>)

INNOVATION FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT (ISD) (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/virtual-special-issues/innovation-for-sustainable-development-isd>)

Low carbon economy and equitable society: Production, supply chain, and operations management perspectives (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/virtual-special-issues/low-carbon-economy-and-equitable-society-production-supply-c>)

[View All \(https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/virtual-special-issues\)](https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/virtual-special-issues)



(https://w  
ww.elsevi  
er.com)

 SEARCH  MENU

[Call for Papers \(https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/call-for-papers\)](https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/call-for-papers)

Special Issue on Marketing Innovations and Sustainable Development for the Future Ecosystem  
(<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/call-for-papers/special-issue-on-marketing-innovations>)

Special Issue on Sustainability of circular-chemistry based sectors  
(<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/call-for-papers/special-issue-on-sustainability-of-circular-chemistry>)

Special Issue on Smart Product-Service Design for Sustainability  
(<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/call-for-papers/smart-product-service-design-for-sustainability>)

[View All \(https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/call-for-papers\)](https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/call-for-papers)

Policies and Guidelines (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/policies-and-guidelines>)

Conference Affiliation Regulation (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/policies-and-guidelines/conference-affiliation-regulation>)

How to submit your special issue proposal (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/policies-and-guidelines/special-issue-proposal>)

[View All \(https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/policies-and-guidelines\)](https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/policies-and-guidelines)

Conferences (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/conferences>)

3rd International Conference for Bioresource Technology for Bioenergy, Bioproducts & Environmental Sustainability (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/conferences/3rd-international-conference-for-bioresource-technology>)

4th International Conference on Global Food Security (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/conferences/4th-international-conference-on-global-food-security>)

## PlumX Metrics (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/top-articles>)

Below is a recent list of 2019—2020 articles that have had the most social media attention. The Plum Print next to each article shows the relative activity in each of these categories of metrics: Captures, Mentions, Social Media and Citations. Go here to learn more about PlumX Metrics.

Nutrient footprint and ecosystem services of carp production in European fishponds in contrast to EU crop and livestock sectors

Nutrient footprint and ecosystem services of carp production in European fishponds in contrast to EU crop and livestock sectors

Academic air travel has a limited influence on professional success

Academic air travel has a limited influence on professional success

Do life-cycle costing and assessment integration support decision-making towards sustainable development?

Do life-cycle costing and assessment integration support decision-making towards sustainable development?

> [View All \(https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/top-articles\)](https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/top-articles)

## Journal of Cleaner Production

Readers

[View Articles](#)

[Volume/ Issue Alert](#)

[Personalized Recommendations](#)

[Authors \(http://www.elsevier.com/authors/home\)](http://www.elsevier.com/authors/home)

[Author Information Pack \(https://www.elsevier.com/journals/journal-of-cleaner-production/0959-6526?generatepdf=true\)](https://www.elsevier.com/journals/journal-of-cleaner-production/0959-6526?generatepdf=true)

[Submit Your Paper](#)

[Track Your Paper](#)

[Researcher Academy](#)

[Rights and Permissions \(https://www.elsevier.com/about/policies/copyright/permissions\)](https://www.elsevier.com/about/policies/copyright/permissions)

[Elsevier Author Services](#)

[Webshop](#)

[Support Center](#)



Librarians (<https://www.elsevier.com/librarians>)

Order Journal (<http://www.elsevier.com/journals/journal-of-cleaner-production/0959-6526/order-journal>)

Abstracting/Indexing (<http://www.elsevier.com/journals/journal-of-cleaner-production/0959-6526/abstracting-indexing>)

 [www.elsevier.com](https://www.elsevier.com)

Editors (<http://www.elsevier.com/editors/home>)

Publishing Ethics Resource Kit (<http://www.elsevier.com/editors/perk>)

Guest Editors (<https://www.elsevier.com/editors/guest-editors>)

Support Center

Reviewers (<http://www.elsevier.com/reviewers/home>)

Reviewer Guidelines (<https://www.elsevier.com/reviewers/how-to-review>)

Log in as Reviewer

Reviewer Recognition (<https://www.elsevier.com/reviewers/becoming-a-reviewer-how-and-why#recognizing>)

Support Center

Advertisers Media Information (<https://www.elsevier.com/advertisers>)

Societies (<http://www.elsevier.com/societies/home>)



(<https://www.elsevier.com>)

**ELSEVIER**

Copyright © 2020 Elsevier B.V.

Careers (<https://www.elsevier.com/careers/careers-with-us>) - Terms and Conditions

(<https://www.elsevier.com/legal/elsevier-website-terms-and-conditions>) - Privacy Policy

(<https://www.elsevier.com/legal/privacy-policy>)

Cookies are used by this site. To decline or learn more, visit our Cookies page.



(<https://www.elsevier.com>)  **RELX Group™** (<http://www.reedelsevier.com/>)

**ELSEVIER**



(<https://www.mendeley.com/groups/>)

(<https://twitter.com/ElsevierCon>) (<https://www.facebook.com/ElsevierCon>) (<https://www.linkedin.com/company/elsevier>)

(<https://www.elsevier.com/elsevierconnect>)

(<https://www.elsevier.com/elsevierconnect>)

(<https://www.elsevier.com/elsevierconnect>)

(<https://www.elsevier.com/elsevierconnect>)

 **RELX Group™** (<http://www.reedelsevier.com/>)



[Home \(https://www.elsevier.com/\)](https://www.elsevier.com/) > [Journals \(https://www.elsevier.com/catalog?producttype...](https://www.elsevier.com/catalog?producttype...)

> [Journal of Cleaner Production \(https://www.journals.elsevier.com/\)](https://www.journals.elsevier.com/)

> [Editorial Board \(https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board\)](https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board)


[Submit Your Paper \(https://www.editorialmanager.com/JCLEPRO\)](https://www.editorialmanager.com/JCLEPRO)

[Supports Open Access \(https://www.elsevier.com/journals/journal-of-cleaner-production/0959-6526/open-access-options\)](https://www.elsevier.com/journals/journal-of-cleaner-production/0959-6526/open-access-options)

[View Articles \(https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/09596526\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/09596526)

[Guide for Authors](#) 

[Abstracting/ Indexing \(http://www.elsevier.com/journals/journal-of-cleaner-production/0959-6526/abstracting-indexing\)](http://www.elsevier.com/journals/journal-of-cleaner-production/0959-6526/abstracting-indexing)

[Track Your Paper](#) 

[Order Journal](#) 


[Journal Metrics](#)

> [CiteScore: 10.9](#) 

[Impact Factor: 7.246](#) 

[5-Year Impact Factor: 7.491](#) 

[Source Normalized Impact per Paper \(SNIP\): 2.394](#) 

[SCImago Journal Rank \(SJR\): 1.886](#) 

> [View More on Journal Insights](#)



## Your Research Data

- [Share your research data \(https://www.elsevier.com/authors/author-resources/research-data\)](https://www.elsevier.com/authors/author-resources/research-data)
- [Data in Brief co-submission \(https://www.elsevier.com/authors/author-resources/research-data/data-articles/DIB-co-submission\)](https://www.elsevier.com/authors/author-resources/research-data/data-articles/DIB-co-submission)
- [MethodsX co-submission \(https://www.elsevier.com/authors/author-resources/research-data/materials-and-methods/mex-co-submission\)](https://www.elsevier.com/authors/author-resources/research-data/materials-and-methods/mex-co-submission)

## Related Links

- [Author Stats](#) ⓘ
- [Researcher Academy](#)
- [Author Resources \(https://www.elsevier.com/authors/author-resources\)](https://www.elsevier.com/authors/author-resources)
- [Try out personalized alert features](#)

## Related Publications

- [Cleaner and Responsible Consumption \(https://www.elsevier.com/locate/inca/747685\)](https://www.elsevier.com/locate/inca/747685)
- [Cleaner Engineering and Technology \(https://www.elsevier.com/locate/inca/747684\)](https://www.elsevier.com/locate/inca/747684)
- [Cleaner Environmental Systems \(https://www.elsevier.com/locate/inca/747683\)](https://www.elsevier.com/locate/inca/747683)
- [Cleaner Production Letters \(https://www.elsevier.com/locate/inca/747682\)](https://www.elsevier.com/locate/inca/747682)



# Journal of Cleaner Production - Editorial Board

## Co-Editors-in-Chief

Jiří Jaromír Klemeš (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/jiri-jaromir-klemes>)

Brno University of Technology, Brno, Czech Republic

Email Jiří Jaromír Klemeš (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/jiri-jaromir-klemes>)

Cleaner Production and Technical Processes; Green/sustainable engineering; Green/sustainable supply chains; Biomass; Energy use and consumption; Waste minimisation; Pollution reduction; Renewable energy; Environmental assessment; Emergy/exergy analyses; LCA of product and process - Footprints and other assessment types; Supply chains (modelling, mathematical, and engineering); Eco-industrial parks; Energy-water nexus



Cecília Maria Villas Bôas de Almeida

Paulista University - Indianópolis Campus, Sao Paulo, Brazil

Email Cecília Maria Villas Bôas de Almeida

(<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/cecilia-maria-villas-boas-de-almeida>)

\*Cecilia is the first contact point for Review articles\*

Sustainability indicators; Cleaner production practices (industrial, agricultural and supply chains); Emergy and exergy analysis (resource and energy use); Regional sustainability; Education for sustainability; Environmental and sustainability assessment; Sustainable products and services; Corporate sustainability, Corporate social responsibility; Sustainable consumption



Yutao Wang

Fudan University, Shanghai, China

Email Yutao Wang (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/production/editorial-board/yutao-wang>)



Cleaner production; Circular economy; Climate change policy and adaptation; Bio-based industry; Extended producer responsibility; Industrial ecology; Life cycle assessment; Eco-efficiency; Sustainable products and services; Waste management; Ecological management and natural capital; Renewable resource; Environmental behavior; Urban metabolism; Environmental nexus; Energy policy; Sustainable consumption; Sustainable urbanization and Regional sustainability; Education for sustainability

## Executive Editors

Kathleen Aviso

De La Salle University Department of Chemical Engineering, Manila, Philippines

Email Kathleen Aviso (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/kathleen-aviso>)

Bin Chen (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/bin-chen>)

Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China

Email Bin Chen (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/bin-chen>)



Kannan Govindan

University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

Email Kannan Govindan (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/kannan-govindan>)



Mingzhou Jin

The University of Tennessee Knoxville Institute for a Secure and Sustainable Environment, Knoxville, Tennessee, United States

Email Mingzhou Jin (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/mingzhou-jin>)

Zhifu Mi (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/zhifu-mi>)

University College London School of Construction and Project Management,  
London, United Kingdom

Email Zhifu Mi (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/zhifu-mi>)



SEARCH

MENU

Maria Teresa  
Moreira

University of  
Santiago de  
Compostela  
School of  
Engineering  
Department of  
Chemical  
Engineering, A  
Coruña, Spain  
Email Maria  
Teresa Moreira



(<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/maria-teresa-moreira>)

Assistant Editor

Chunyan Wang (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/chunyan-wang>)

Tsinghua University School of Environment, Beijing, China

Email Chunyan Wang ([https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/](https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/chunyan-wang)  
(<https://www.elsevier.com>)

ELSEVIER  
ELSEVIER



SEARCH



MENU



## Founder and Editor-in-Chief Emeritus

Don Huisingh ([https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/](https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/don-huisingh)  
editorial-board/don-huisingh)

The University of Tennessee System, Knoxville, Tennessee, United States

## Associate Editors

Giovanni Baiocchi ([https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/](https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/giovanni-baiocchi)  
editorial-board/giovanni-baiocchi)

University of Maryland at College Park, College Park, Maryland, United States

Email Giovanni Baiocchi ([https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/](https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/giovanni-baiocchi)  
editorial-board/giovanni-baiocchi)



Santanu Bandyopadhyay ([https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/](https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/santanu-bandyopadhyay)  
editorial-board/santanu-bandyopadhyay)

Indian Institute of Technology Bombay Department of Energy Science and Engineering,  
Mumbai, India

Email Santanu Bandyopadhyay ([https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/](https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/santanu-bandyopadhyay)  
editorial-board/santanu-bandyopadhyay)

Giorgio Besagni ([https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/](https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/giorgio-besagni)  
editorial-board/giorgio-besagni)

Ricerca Sul Sistema Energetico, Power System Development Department, Milan,  
Italy

Email Giorgio Besagni ([https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/](https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/giorgio-besagni)  
editorial-board/giorgio-besagni)



Jun Bi (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/jun-bi>)

Nanjing University, Nanjing, China

Email Jun Bi (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/jun-bi>)

SEARCH



Sandra Caeiro

Open University, Lisboa, Portugal

Email Sandra Caeiro (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/sandra-caeiro>)

Hua Cai (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/hua-cai>)

Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, United States

Email Hua Cai (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/hua-cai>)

Charbel Jose Chiappetta Jabbour

(<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/charbel-jose-chiappetta-jabbour>)

University of Lincoln, Lincoln International Business School, Lincoln, United Kingdom

Email Charbel Jose Chiappetta Jabbour (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/charbel-jose-chiappetta-jabbour>)



Jing-Li Fan

China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou, China


Email Jing-Li Fan

(<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/jing-li-fan>)





Yee Van Fan (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/yee-van-fan>)  
Brno University of Technology, Brno, Czech Republic  
Email Yee Van Fan (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/yee-van-fan>)



Baoshan Huang (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/baoshan-huang>)  
The University of Tennessee System, Knoxville, Tennessee, United States  
Email Baoshan Huang (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/baoshan-huang>)



Jin-Kuk Kim (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/jin-kuk-kim>)  
Hanyang University College of Engineering Department of Chemical Engineering, Seongdong-gu, Korea, Republic of  
Email Jin-Kuk Kim (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/jin-kuk-kim>)



Chew Tin Lee (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/chew-tin-lee>)  
University of Technology Malaysia, Skudai, Malaysia  
Email Chew Tin Lee (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/chew-tin-lee>)



Zhen Leng (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/zhen-leng>)  
The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China  
Email Zhen Leng (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/zhen-leng>)



Weidong Li (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/weidong-li>)  
Coventry University, Institute of Advanced Manufacturing & Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Environment and Computing, Coventry, United Kingdom  
Email Weidong Li (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/weidong-li>)



ELSEVIER  
ELSEVIER

(<https://www.elsevier.com>)



Mattias Lindahl (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/mattias-lindahl>)

Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden

Email Mattias Lindahl (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/mattias-lindahl>)



Yang Liu (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/yang-liu>)

Linköping University Department of Management and Engineering,  
Linköping, Sweden

Email Yang Liu (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/yang-liu>)



Jing Meng

University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

Email Jing Meng (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/jing-meng>)



Hrvoje Mikulčić (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/hrvoje-mikulcic>)

University of Zagreb Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Naval  
Architecture, Zagreb, Croatia

Email Hrvoje Mikulčić (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/hrvoje-mikulcic>)



Bing-Jie Ni (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/bing-jie-ni>)

University of Technology Sydney School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Broadway, New South Wales, Australia



Email Bing-Jie Ni (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/bing-jie-ni>)

Sandro Nižetić (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/sandro-nizetic>)

University of Split, Split, Croatia

Email Sandro Nižetić (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/sandro-nizetic>)



Paweł Ocoń (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/pawe-ocon>)

Tadeusz Kosciuszko Cracow University of Technology, Faculty of Environmental and Energy Engineering, Energy Department, Krakow, Poland

Email Paweł Ocoń (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/pawe-ocon>)



Gul Okudan-Kremer

Iowa State University, Department of Industrial and Manufacturing Systems Engineering, Ames, Iowa, United States

Email Gul Okudan-Kremer (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/gul-okudan-kremer>)

Tomás Ramos (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/tomas-ramos>)

New University of Lisbon Department of Engineering and Environmental Sciences, Caparica, Portugal

Email Tomás Ramos (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/tomas-ramos>)



(<https://www.elsevier.com>)

ELSEVIER  
ELSEVIER

SEARCH MENU



Ernesto Santibanez Gonzalez

University of Talca, Department of Industrial Engineering, Maule, Chile

Email Ernesto Santibanez Gonzalez (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/ernesto-santibanez-gonzalez>)



(<https://www.elsevier.com>)

SEARCH

MENU

Panos Seferlis (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/panos-seferlis>)

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

Email Panos Seferlis (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/panos-seferlis>)



Lei Shi (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/lei-shi>)

Tsinghua University, Beijing, China


Email Lei Shi (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/lei-shi>)



Meisam Tabatabaei (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/meisam-tabatabaei>)

Mara University of Technology Faculty of Plantation and Agrotechnology, Jasin, Malaysia

Email Meisam Tabatabaei (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/meisam-tabatabaei>)



Xin Tong (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/xin-tong>)

Peking University, Beijing, China

Email Xin Tong (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/xin-tong>)



Sharifah Rafidah Wan Alwi

University of Technology Malaysia Process Systems Engineering Centre, Johor Bahru., Malaysia

Email Sharifah Rafidah Wan Alwi

(<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/sharifah-rafidah-wan-alwi>)



Lincoln C. Wood

Auckland University of Technology, Auckland, New Zealand

Richard Wood

(<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/richard-wood>)

Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU) Department of Energy and Process Engineering, Trondheim, Norway

Email Richard Wood

(<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/richard-wood>)



Jin Yang (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/jin-yang>)

China University of Geosciences School of Economics and Management, Beijing, China

Email Jin Yang (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/jin-yang>)



Zuotai Zhang (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/zuotai-zhang>)

Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, China

Email Zuotai Zhang (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/zuotai-zhang>)



Prof. Dr. Jian Zuo (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/prof-dr-jian-zuo>)

The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

Email Prof. Dr. Jian Zuo (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/prof-dr-jian-zuo>)



(<https://www.elsevier.com>)

ELSEVIER  
ELSEVIER



---

## Honorary Board Members

Nicholas Ashford

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, United States

Mark Brown (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/mark-brown>)

University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, United States

D'Maris Coffman

University College London School of Construction and Project Management, London, United Kingdom

Ning Duan

Tongji University, Shanghai, China

Goran Finnveden

KTH Royal Institute of Technology Department of Sustainable Development, Environmental  
Science and Engineering, Stockholm, Sweden

ELSEVIER (https://www.elsevier.com)  
ELSEVIER



SEARCH



MENU

Biagio F. Giannetti

Paulista University - Indianópolis Campus, Sao Paulo, Brazil

Ole Jorgen Hanssen

Ostfoldforskning AS, Kråkerøy, Norway

Klaus Hubacek (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/klaus-hubacek>)

University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands



Gjalt Huppes (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/gjalt-huppes>)

Leiden University, Leiden, Netherlands



Conrad Luttrupp

Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden

Darrel Reeve

Cleaner Production Australia, Eltham, Australia

Hans Schnitzer (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/hans-schnitzer>)

Graz University of Technology, Graz, Austria

Wen Quan Tao (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/wen-quan-tao>)

Xi'an Jiaotong University School of Energy and Power Engineering, Xian, China






(<https://www.elsevier.com>)  
ELSEVIER  
ELSEVIER

SEARCH

MENU



Carmen Teodosiu (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/carmen-teodosiu>)  
Gheorghe Asachi Technical University of Iasi, Department of Environmental Engineering and Management, Iasi, Romania



Arnold Tukker

Leiden University, Leiden, Netherlands

Sergio Ulgiati (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/sergio-ulgiati>)  
University of Naples - Parthenope, Napoli, Italy



ELSEVIER  
ELSEVIER

(<https://www.elsevier.com>)

Q SEARCH



## Philip Vergragt

Tellus Institute, Boston, Massachusetts, United States

## Yi-Ming Wei

(<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/yi-ming-wei>)

Beijing Institute of Technology, Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research, Beijing, China



Zhifeng Yang (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/zhifeng-yang>)

Guangdong University of Technology Institute of Environmental and Ecological Engineering, Guangzhou, China



## Academic Board Members

### Feni Agostinho, PhD

Paulistana University, SAO PAULO, Brazil



Jacopo Bacenetti, PhD

University of Milan Department of Environmental Science and Policy, Milano, Italy



Rupert Baumgartner

University of Graz, Graz, Austria

(<https://www.elsevier.com>)

Vincent Blok

Wageningen University, Wageningen, Netherlands

Wageningen University, Wageningen, Netherlands

Frank Boons

The University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

Yanpeng Cai, PhD

Guangdong University of Technology - University Town Campus, Guangzhou, China

Michel Constantino



Stephen DeVito

US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, District of Columbia, United States



Xiangzheng Deng, PhD

Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China



ELSEVIER  
ELSEVIER

(<https://www.elsevier.com>)



Kai Fang

Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China



Kuishuang Feng, PhD

University of Maryland at College Park, Department of Geographical Sciences, College Park, Maryland, United States

Johannes Fresner

STENUM Environmental Consultancy and Research Company, Graz, Austria



(Anthony) Shun Fung Chiu, PIE ASEAN Eng FAPIEMS

De La Salle University, Gokongwei College of Engineering, Industrial Engineering Department, Manila, Philippines



(<https://www.elsevier.com>)

ELSEVIER  
ELSEVIER



SEARCH



MENU





ELSEVIER  
ELSEVIER

(<https://www.elsevier.com>)



SEARCH



MENU



Shabbir Gheewala

King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi, Bangkok, Thailand

Stefan Gold

University of Nottingham, Nottingham, United Kingdom

Reinout Heijungs

VU Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands

ELSEVIER  
ELSEVIER

(https://www.elsevier.com)

Q SEARCH



Gavin Hilson

University of Surrey Faculty of Business Economics and Law, Guildford, United Kingdom

Bart van Hoof

University of the Andes, Bogota, Colombia

Vyacheslav Kafarov

Industrial University of Santander, Bucaramanga, Colombia

Evina Katsou

Brunel University London, Uxbridge, United Kingdom



David Kukulka

University at Buffalo - The State University of New York, Buffalo, New York, United States



Feng Li

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China



Sai Liang

Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China



Wenjie Liao


Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

ELSEVIER  
ELSEVIER



Gang Liu, PhD

University of Southern Denmark, Department of Green Technology, Odense, Denmark



Gengyuan Liu

Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China

Zhi-Yong Liu

Hebei University of Technology, Tianjin, China



Thokozani Majazi, OMB, PrEng, PhD (UMIST), FIChemE, FWISA, MASSAf, F

University of the Witwatersrand, School of Chemical & Materials/Metallurgical Engineering, WITS, South Africa



Guozhu Mao

Tianjin University, Tianjin, China




Shen Qu

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, United States

ELSEVIER  
ELSEVIER

(https://www.elsevier.com)

Q SEARCH



Jaco Quist

TU Delft, Delft, Netherlands

Mohammad Ali

Rajaeifar, PhD

Newcastle

University,

Newcastle Upon

Tyne, United

Kingdom



Malin Song

Anhui University of Finance and Economics, Bengbu, China



Mingxing Sun, PhD

Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

ELSEVIER (http://www.elsevier.com)  
ELSEVIER

SEARCH



Andrea Trianni

University of Technology Sydney - City Campus, Ultimo, New South Wales, Australia



Petar S. Varbanov

Brno University of Technology, Brno, Czech Republic

Zhaohua Wang

Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, China



Xianlai Zeng

Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

Yue-Jun Zhang

Hunan University, Changsha, China



---

## Junior Board Members

Syed Awais Ali Shah Bokhari (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/syed-awais-ali-shah-bokhari>)  
Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS Department of Chemical Engineering, Bandar Seri Iskandar, Malaysia



Cassandra Bong Phun Chien (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/cassandra-bong-phun-chien>)

University of Technology Malaysia, Skudai, Malaysia

ELSEVIER  
ELSEVIER

er.com)

SEARCH



MENU

Ivan Bozhikin (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/ivan-bozhikin>)

University of National and World Economy, Sofia, Bulgaria



Laura Bulgariu (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/laura-bulgariu>)

Gheorghe Asachi Technical University of Iasi, Iasi, Romania



Lai Fatt Chuah

Marine Department Northern Region, Gelugor, Malaysia

Luca Coscieme

The University of Dublin Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland

Xuexiu Jia (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/xuexiu-jia>)

Brno University of Technology, Brno, Czech Republic



Hesam Kamyab (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/hesam-kamyab>)

Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Razak School of Engineering and Advanced Technology, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia



Jeng Shiun Lim (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/jeng-shiun-lim>)

University of Technology Malaysia School of Chemical and Energy Engineering, Johor Bahru, Malaysia



Stephen Northey, PhD

(<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/stephen-northey-phd>)

Monash University, Clayton, Victoria, Australia




Paula Pérez-López

Engineering College Paris -  
Observation, Impact, Energy  
(OIE) Center, Sophia  
Antipolis, France



Yuanbo Qiao (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/yuanbo-qiao>)



Yuli Shan, PhD (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/yuli-shan-phd>)  
University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands

Wendong Wei, PhD  
(<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/wendong-wei-phd>)  
University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai, China



Minghui Xie

Chinese Research Academy of Environmental Sciences, MEP Key Laboratory of Eco-Industry,  
Beijing, China

Qian Zhang (<https://www.journals.elsevier.com:443/journal-of-cleaner-production/editorial-board/qian-zhang>)

University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada



ELSEVIER  
ELSEVIER

(<https://www.elsevier.com>)



SEARCH



MENU



## Journal of Cleaner Production

Readers

[View Articles](#)

[Volume/ Issue Alert](#)

[Personalized Recommendations](#)

[Authors \(http://www.elsevier.com/authors/home\)](http://www.elsevier.com/authors/home)

[Author Information Pack \(https://www.elsevier.com/journals/journal-of-cleaner-production/0959-6526?generatepdf=true\)](https://www.elsevier.com/journals/journal-of-cleaner-production/0959-6526?generatepdf=true)

[Submit Your Paper](#)

[Track Your Paper](#)

[Researcher Academy](#)

[Rights and Permissions \(https://www.elsevier.com/about/policies/copyright/permissions\)](https://www.elsevier.com/about/policies/copyright/permissions)

[Elsevier Author Services](#)

[Webshop](#)

[Support Center](#)

 **SEARCH**

 **MENU**

[Librarians \(https://www.elsevier.com/librarians\)](https://www.elsevier.com/librarians)

[Order Journal \(http://www.elsevier.com/journals/journal-of-cleaner-production/0959-6526/order-journal\)](http://www.elsevier.com/journals/journal-of-cleaner-production/0959-6526/order-journal)

[Abstracting/ Indexing \(http://www.elsevier.com/journals/journal-of-cleaner-production/0959-6526/abstracting-indexing\)](http://www.elsevier.com/journals/journal-of-cleaner-production/0959-6526/abstracting-indexing)

[Editors \(http://www.elsevier.com/editors/home\)](http://www.elsevier.com/editors/home)

[Publishing Ethics Resource Kit \(http://www.elsevier.com/editors/perk\)](http://www.elsevier.com/editors/perk)

[Guest Editors \(https://www.elsevier.com/editors/guest-editors\)](https://www.elsevier.com/editors/guest-editors)

[Support Center](#)

[Reviewers \(http://www.elsevier.com/reviewers/home\)](http://www.elsevier.com/reviewers/home)

[Reviewer Guidelines \(https://www.elsevier.com/reviewers/how-to-review\)](https://www.elsevier.com/reviewers/how-to-review)

[Log in as Reviewer](#)

[Reviewer Recognition \(https://www.elsevier.com/reviewers/becoming-a-reviewer-how-and-why#recognizing\)](https://www.elsevier.com/reviewers/becoming-a-reviewer-how-and-why#recognizing)

[Support Center](#)

[Advertisers Media Information \(https://www.elsevier.com/advertisers\)](https://www.elsevier.com/advertisers)

[Societies \(http://www.elsevier.com/societies/home\)](http://www.elsevier.com/societies/home)



<https://www.elsevier.com>

**ELSEVIER**

Copyright © 2020 Elsevier B.V.

[Careers \(https://www.elsevier.com/careers/careers-with-us\)](https://www.elsevier.com/careers/careers-with-us) - [Terms and Conditions](#)

<https://www.elsevier.com/legal/elsevier-website-terms-and-conditions> - [Privacy Policy](#)

<https://www.elsevier.com/legal/privacy-policy>

Cookies are used by this site. To decline or learn more, visit our [Cookies page](#).



<https://www.elsevier.com>  **RELX Group™** (<http://www.reedelsevier.com/>)

**ELSEVIER**



<https://www.mendeley.com/groups/>

<https://twitter.com/Elsecebook> <https://www.facebook.com/Elsecebook> <https://www.linkedin.com/company/Elsecebook>

 **RELX Group™** (<http://www.reedelsevier.com/>)





(https://w  
ww.elsevi  
er.com)

ELSEVIER  
ELSEVIER

vierCon.com/Elsom/co  
nect) evierCo mpany/  
nnect) reed-  
elsevier)


 SEARCH

 MENU



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Journal of Cleaner Production

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/jclepro](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jclepro)

# The effects of polymer modified asphalt binder incorporating with chemical warm mix additive towards water quality degradation

Suzielah Rahmad <sup>a</sup>, Nur Izzi Md Yusoff <sup>a, \*</sup>, Syazwani Mohd Fadzil <sup>b</sup>, Khairiah Haji Badri <sup>c</sup>, Ahmad Kamil Arshad <sup>d</sup>, Iswandar Widyatmoko <sup>e, f</sup>, Sri Atmaja P. Rosyidi <sup>g</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Dept. of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Selangor, Malaysia

<sup>b</sup> School of Applied Physics Studies, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Selangor, Malaysia

<sup>c</sup> Dept. of Chemical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Selangor, Malaysia

<sup>d</sup> Institute for Infrastructure Engineering and Sustainable Management, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia

<sup>e</sup> Dept. of Pavement Engineering, Centre of Excellent for Asset Consultancy, AECOM, Nottingham, United Kingdom

<sup>f</sup> Civil Engineering Department, Universitas Pertamina, Jakarta, Indonesia

<sup>g</sup> Dept. of Civil Engineering, Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 19 March 2020

Received in revised form

28 June 2020

Accepted 8 August 2020

Available online 15 August 2020

Handling Editor: Prof. Jiri Jaromir Klemes

### Keywords:

WMA

PG76

Thermal analysis

Heavy metals

Leaching assessment

Toxicity

## ABSTRACT

Naturally, asphalt binder has compacting and mixing temperatures higher than 150 °C. Both temperatures can be increased by modifying the pristine penetration grade asphalt binder with polymer. However, the warm-mix asphalt (WMA) technology contributes to lowering of these temperatures even though the possibility of environmental effect cannot be neglected. Air pollution and water contamination are two crucial environmental concerns when it comes to chemical reactions of any materials. This study was conducted to investigate the physical characteristics, thermal analysis, leaching and toxicity of polymer-modified asphalt binder (PMA, PG76) and its performance as the binding agent. Physical tests were carried out to determine the durability, quality and performance of PG76 binder. Thermal analysis via thermogravimetry analysis was carried out to observe the stability of the blending at higher temperature. The results illustrated that even though the PMA is added in combination with WMA as additives, the mixes were stable beyond their mixing and compaction temperatures. The decomposition started at temperature >360 °C and ended at temperature <500 °C for all samples. Wetting and spreading behaviours of the blending are also perceived by contact angle method. Increasing amount of WMA allowed the spreading of water on the surface. The surface was deemed to be hydrophilic or poorly hydrophobic. Leaching Tank Test was carried out to simulate water suspension during heavy rainfall and its influence in leaching traces of heavy metal from asphaltic binders. The tests were carried out at 0.25, 1, 2.25, 4, 9, 16, 36, and 64 days to observe the leaching behaviour of heavy metals and determine the toxicity of the samples. Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) was used to detect the presence of heavy metals (Cu, Zn, Ni, Pb, Cr and Fe) and the readings were analysed to Heavy Metal Evaluation Index (HEI), Heavy Metal Pollution Index (HPI), Degree of Contamination (C<sub>d</sub>), and Metal Quality Index (MQI). The cumulative values of heavy metals are presented in the form of logarithmic graphs. All indices indicated that all samples did not contribute to heavy metal pollution to the surrounding water resources.

Crown Copyright © 2020 Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Since the introduction of polymer-modified asphalt binder (PMA), it has been widely used to produce asphalt pavements owing to the excellence resistance to moisture, rutting, and fatigue (Zhu et al., 2014). Tariq Ali et al. (2013) has suggested that adding polyethylene into virgin asphalt binder will increase the heat resistance of the binder and the adhesion between the asphalt

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [suzielah@live.com.my](mailto:suzielah@live.com.my) (S. Rahmad), [izzi@ukm.edu.my](mailto:izzi@ukm.edu.my) (N.I. Md Yusoff), [syazwanimf@ukm.edu.my](mailto:syazwanimf@ukm.edu.my) (S.M. Fadzil), [kaybadri@ukm.edu.my](mailto:kaybadri@ukm.edu.my) (K.H. Badri), [drahmadkamil@salam.uitm.edu.my](mailto:drahmadkamil@salam.uitm.edu.my) (A.K. Arshad), [daru.widyatmoko@aecom.com](mailto:daru.widyatmoko@aecom.com) (I. Widyatmoko), [atmaja\\_sri@umy.ac.id](mailto:atmaja_sri@umy.ac.id) (S.A.P. Rosyidi).

binder-aggregate system. Styrene-butadiene-styrene (SBS), on the other hand, is usually used to modify the asphalt binder by improving the thermal susceptibility, increases the softening point and increases stiffness upon aging. On the other hand, thermosetting PMA binders show high elasticity but lacking of viscous flow behaviour (Porto et al., 2019). However, when PMA is added filled with nano silica, the moisture susceptibility of the mixes improved, signifying the increase in strength. In addition, substantial increase in fatigue life and rutting deformation was observed (Yusoff et al., 2014). Also, due to high-temperature rheological studies and the technical indexes, terminal blend amorphous poly alpha olefin (TB-APAO) PMA and waste tire rubber-amorphous-poly-alpha-olefin (WTR-APAO) PMA performed better than SBS PMA (Yan et al., 2019). However, by means of PMA, with the increase in the production temperature, unnecessary oxidation, polymer phase separation, and asphalt; the degradation of the binders can lead to noteworthy green-house effect, emission of aerosols density and vapours to environment. The effect can be treacherous to human (Boczkaj et al., 2014; Pesch et al., 2011). Consequently, by reducing the compaction and mixing temperatures, the benefit of PMA can be further explored (Widyatmoko et al., 2016).

Warm-mix asphalt (WMA) additive can facilitate the process by reducing viscosity of the asphalt binder resulting in lower production temperature (Rahmad et al., 2020; Yusoff et al., 2013). WMA additive comes in various types namely; chemical based, water zeolite based and wax based; such as Rediset, Advera, and Sasobit (Menapace and Masad, 2014; Xu et al., 2017). Despite the fact that WMA additives are mainly used for the purpose of reducing viscosity of the asphalt binder, other effects such as aging, alteration of rheological properties and mechanical characteristics in binders and mixes are also studied (Akisetty et al., 2009; Xiao et al., 2012). Each WMA additive has a unique function in the binder system. Even so, the WMA additive selection is due to its effects on viscosity of asphalt binders and workability of asphalt mixes. However, the rheological performance of asphalt binders should not be affected by the WMA additive. The extensive use of chemical WMA additives has raised environmental concern since the additive is often associated with various chemical contaminants in order to achieve the preferred results. A recent study has shown that the chemicals present in WMA have a positive impact in suppressing the emission of gaseous pollution during its manufacture (Abdullah et al., 2016). However, there is a dearth of information on the leachability of heavy metals utilised in WMA technology. Recent developed technology has successfully produced WMA from a mixture of chemical and organic additives (Hill et al., 2012). However, very few researches have been carried out to determine the leachability of heavy metal components in WMA. In addition to gas emission, unmonitored problematic road pavements may lead to uncontrolled heavy metals leaching that contribute to environmental pollution.

In tropical countries, pavements are being exposed to high daily ambient temperature throughout the year. For instance, daily temperature for some countries ranges from 30 °C to 40 °C (Zawawi et al., 2011). However, during the monsoon season, some places are prone to flood known as monsoonal flood that could last up to two weeks. The average annual rainfall can be up to 2400 mm with the highest at 358.0 mm as recorded by Aminah Shakirah et al. (2016) occurred for 24 h. Exposed asphalt binder has the tendency to be abraded away and the oxidised material is soluble in rainwater (Hunter et al., 2015). Chen et al. (2018) discovered that asphalt binder can leach metallic components when soaked in water. The pH value of the water and submersion time are two parameters that influence the concentration of metallic elements and determine the degree of water contamination. This study is in agreement with the one conducted earlier by Legret et al. (2005) where they identified

the existence of leachate were due to infiltration of rainwater through the pavement surface. There are a handful of leaching tests used to study the effect of asphalt to the environment. Aqilah et al. (2020) conducted the Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure (SPLP) test to study the mobility of organic and inorganic elements present in asphalt binders modified with polyurethane and Ceca-base additives for WMA application. The new material has been proven to be environmentally safe. Meanwhile, Hassan and Khalid (2010) studied the environmental characteristics of bituminous mixtures with incinerator bottom ash aggregates by carried out tank leaching test in accordance to NEN7375 testing standard. This study concluded that a model developed from the test can predict cumulative release from binder sample.

The heavy metals components of any construction material have to be analysed in an effort to protect the environment from any form of pollution caused by the leached metals. These heavy metals can be classified as non-critical, very critical and abundant as they are easily found, and toxic or very insoluble or very rare (Wood, 1974). The degree of hazard associated with a material can be established based on this classification. Zinc (Zn), argentum or silver (Ag), cadmium (Cd), cuprum or copper (Cu), plumbum or lead (Pb), cobalt (Co), and nickel (Ni) are classified as heavy metals with high potential to pollute the environment and caused health issues due to their high toxicity. Heavy metals can cause many health complications due to their ability to be absorbed by plants through the soil and underground water, and these plants are then consumed by humans (Singh et al., 2010). Road pavements are structures that are heavily affected by surrounding conditions as they are constantly exposed to hot and cold temperatures. Pavements must be durable and able to withstand the dynamic loads exerted as vehicles pass on them. Because of this, asphaltic pavements are added with chemicals that function to enhance their flexibility. Synthetic zeolites that are added in the foaming process are composed of alumina-silicates of alkali metals and have been hydro-thermally crystallized (Vidal et al., 2013). The application of WMA technology for practical use may cause leaching as a result of the influence of environmental factors, especially during heavy rainfall and flash flood. Tank leaching test is one of the methods used to analyse the heavy metals leached from a particular substance. It is carried out by adding an acidic solution under specific laboratory conditions. Leaching test is often used to simulate the submersion of a solid in water for a certain period of time (Chai et al., 2009) and the result can be used to estimate the amount of leached solids. The leachate is then analysed using the latest equipment and tools, including Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS) and Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) (Fadzil et al., 2011; Gómez and Bosecker, 1999).

The objective of this study was to determine the physical characteristics of PG76 modified with Rediset. The physical properties of the mixture were determined through penetration, softening point, and ductility tests and by conducting tank leaching test to simulate heavy rainfall and flash flooding on the road by submerging the sample in acidic solution (pH of 4) for different periods of time (0.25, 1, 2.25, 4, 9, 16, 32, and 64 days) as suggested by Fadzil et al. (2011) and in accordance to NEN 7347, 2006 testing standard. The leachate was analysed using ICP-MS instrument and the cumulative release of Cd, Cu, Zn, Ni, Pb, Cr, Al, and Co were tabulated and compared with the values set by the Malaysia Department of Environment, DOE to determine its toxicity. Thermal analysis of the new material was also carried out to study their characteristics upon exposure to high temperatures.

## 2. Experimental design

### 2.1. Materials and sample preparation

A performance grade asphalt binder namely PG76 and warm mix asphalt (WMA) additive are used in this study. The WMA additive namely Rediset LQ 1106 (Rediset) was supplied by AkzoNobel. This additive is known to be capable of increasing the stability (Vahora and Mishra, 2017), tensile strength (Leng et al., 2014), anti-rutting properties and life-span (AkzoNobel, 2014). PG76 is modified by adding Rediset at varying percentage of 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 wt% of total binder weight. The blending is labelled as R0 for the control sample, R1, R2, R3, R4, and R5 with reference to Rediset weight added. The binder and Rediset were mixed at 160 °C for 30 min in a rotary shear mill at a speed of 1250 rpm. The physical characteristics of PG76 binder is presented in Table 1. The physical properties of Rediset LQ1106 are presented in Table 2.

### 2.2. Physical tests

Physical tests, including penetration (ASTM D5) to determine the hardness and consistency of the bituminous material when it is reheated and softening point tests (ASTM D36), to establish the point at which the bituminous substance starts to soften are carried out for this study. The results of the tests are compared to establish the fluidity of the binders. The ductility test (ASTM D113) is used to measure the tensile properties of both asphaltic materials. For each test, three replicates sample were tested and the values were taken as the average readings.

### 2.3. Wettability via contact angle

Wettability is defined as the attraction of a liquid phase to solid surface, and it is typically quantified using a contact angle with the solid phase. A sessile drop device called goniometer was used to measure contact angles of water on the surface of the blending to characterise its spreading and wetting characteristics.

### 2.4. Thermogravimetry analysis

Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) was carried out for this study to assess the weight change of the sample with the function of temperature under controlled conditions. The changes in weight was observed up to 600 °C. The analysis was carried out using Shimadzu TGA-50 thermogravimetric analyser in a protective atmosphere of nitrogen gas, with a flux of 50 ml/min and a heating rate of 10 °C/min. The analysis was carried out in accordance to ASTM E 1311 (ASTM, 2012).

### 2.5. Tank leaching test

The tank leaching test was carried out to determine the leaching of the new materials. The test was conducted in accordance to the Netherlands tank leaching test NEN 7345. This test was meant to simulate the condition of which the new material submerged in rainwater during the monsoon season. However, the test was

**Table 1**  
Physical properties of PG76.

Parameter	Unit	Value	Requirement	Test Standard
Softening point	°C	93	Min. 70 °C	ASTM D36
Penetration	0.1 mm	46	Min. 45	ASTM D5
Viscosity at 135 °C	Pa s	2.45	Max. 3 Pa s	ASTM D4402

Source: Yusoff et al. (2014).

**Table 2**  
Physical properties of Rediset LQ 1106 (AkzoNobel, 2014).

Item	Index
Appearance @25 °C	Liquid
Colour	Dark brown
Odour	Slight
pH at 0.1% solution	10
Pour point, °C	3
Flash point, °C (Pensky Martens)	165
Boiling point, °C	215
Viscosity @40 °C, mPa s	216
Density @40 °C, g/cc	0.99
Solubility in water	Partly soluble

modified to suit the study purpose. The materials were left submerged until extraction time. This way, the worst flood scenario is imitated. As for the sample preparation, the binder samples were poured to fill the bottom part of the bottle followed by initial weighing. Fig. 1 shows a sample ready to be submerged in acidic solution for further analysis while Fig. 2 shows the samples during the test. The contaminants were then analysed by inductive coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). A total of 29 elements were analysed by ICP-MS.

#### 2.5.1. Heavy metal evaluation index (HEI)

Heavy Metal Evaluation Index (HEI) gives the water overall quality with respect to heavy metals. The values are categorised into 3 classes to specify the level of contamination. They are identified as low (HEI<400), medium (HEI = 400–800), and high (HEI>800) (Edet and Offiong, 2002). Equation (1) is used to calculate HEI.

$$HEI = \sum_{i=1}^n H_c / H_{mac} \quad (1)$$

In this equation,  $H_c$  is the monitored value of the  $i$ th parameter while  $H_{mac}$  is the  $i$ th parameter maximum admissible concentration.

#### 2.5.2. Heavy Metal Pollution Index (HPI)

The Heavy Metal Pollution Index (HPI) is developed in two stages; a weight ( $W_i$ ) that is allocated for selected parameter as rating scale and base to compute the index by selecting the pollution parameter. HPI is calculated by equation (2).

$$HEI = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i Q_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i} \quad (2)$$

where  $W_i$  is the  $i$ th parameter unit weightage and  $n$  denotes the



**Fig. 1.** A binder sample prepared for tank leaching test.




Fig. 2. The samples during tank leaching test.

amount of parameters considered in the calculation.  $Q_i$  represents the sub-index of parameter  $i$ th, calculated by the equation:

$$Q_i = \sum_{n=1}^n \frac{\{Mi(-)Ii\}}{(Si - Ii)} \times 100$$

In this case,  $M_i$  is the  $i$ th heavy metal parameter monitored while  $I_i$  and  $S_i$  are the ideal and standard values of the heavy metal respectively. The upper part of the calculation is in absolute number, meaning to say that the negative sign is ignored. The critical pollution index value is 100 for the water to be able for consumption (Prasad and Bose, 2001). Cr, Cu, Fe, Ni, Pb, and Zn were used to compute the indexes for this study.

#### 2.5.3. Degree of contamination ( $C_d$ )

The degree of contamination ( $C_d$ ) for each sample is calculated individually as the total of water contamination factor of each element that exceeds the upper permissible values.  $C_d$  values are classified into three groups, that are low ( $C_d < 1$ ), medium ( $C_d = 1-3$ ) and high ( $C_d > 3$ ).  $C_d$  is calculated as in Equation (3):

$$C_d = \sum_{i=1}^n C_{fi} \quad (3)$$

where  $C_{fi}$  is the single contamination coefficient derived as:

$$C_{fi} = \frac{C_{Ai}}{C_{Ni}} - 1$$

From the equation,  $C_{Ai}$  denotes the measured value of  $i$ th element and  $C_{Ni}$  represents the maximum permissible limit of the element.

#### 2.5.4. Metal quality index (MQI)

Equation (4) is used to calculate the metal quality index (MQI) (Tamasi and Cini, 2004). For this index,  $M_i$  is the heavy metal value obtained from the test while  $S_i$  is the maximum permissible value of the particular heavy metal.

$$MQI = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{M_i}{S_i} \quad (4)$$

MQI represents the index of heavy metal concentration compared to its maximum permissible value. The higher the concentration will result a value  $> 1$ . It is the threshold value of which, the higher the value, the worse the quality of water.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Physical tests

The results of penetration test are shown in Table 3. The penetration value increased with the incorporation of higher percentage of Rediset. The penetration values of the PG76 binder with the inclusion of 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 wt% Rediset are 42.1, 47.5, 49.9, 55.0 and 60.8 dmm, respectively, while the value for the control sample is 46 dmm. Modifying PG76 binder with the addition of higher percentage of Rediset produced softer asphalt binder.

The softening point test was carried out according to ASTM D36. The softening point temperature for the control sample was 90 °C while the temperatures for the sample modified with 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 wt% Rediset were 64.2, 62.3, 66.3, 61.7 and 68.7 °C, respectively. The softening point temperature decreased at higher Rediset content, with the exception of samples modified with 3 and 5 wt% Rediset. There is a relationship between softening point temperature and penetration value where binder with lower softening point temperature has higher penetration value. Higher percentage of Rediset content subsequently produces softer modified asphalt binder sample. The ductility test was performed according to ASTM D113 to determine the elasticity of modified asphalt binder. In this study the ductility value was taken as the length in centimeter at which the asphalt binder sample started to break. The minimum ductility value should be at least 100 cm. The obtained ductility values of the samples were not consistent. The control asphalt binder has a ductility value of 100.50 cm, while the values for the samples modified with 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 wt% were 110.40, 97.77, 150, 108.50 and 120.67 cm, respectively. The result of ductility test shows that the values exceeded the minimum length required for elastic behavior of asphalt binder. Thus, the modified asphalt binder is suitable for use as pavement layer in terms of ductility measurement. The inconsistency in the ductility values could be due to non-uniformity in dispersion of Rediset in the binder system. This seems tally to the data obtained for the softening point temperature.

The physical tests indicated that R1 has a penetration value of 42.1 dmm and thus, is the best binder for use in regions with warmer climate. PG76 is preferred for use in regions with warmer climate due to its lower penetration grade. Binders with high penetration value are recommended for regions with colder climate. On the other hand, softening point test indicated that PG76 with 5 wt% Rediset binder has the highest temperature of 68.7 °C while PG76 with 4 wt% Rediset binder has the lowest temperature of 61.7 °C. The softening point test is to determine the temperature at which the new material softens beyond some random softness. The results indicated that the incorporation of Rediset has an impact to the temperature of which physical property of the binder showed changes. Even though it reduced the softening point temperature in general, the reduction exhibited inconsistency. Meanwhile, for ductility test, the results show that R3, which PG76

Table 3  
Physical tests data for modified PG76 with the inclusion of 1–5 wt% Rediset.

Sample	Penetration (dmm)			Softening Point (°C)			Ductility @ 25 °C		
	Ave	SD	CV	Ave	SD	CV	Ave	SD	CV
R0	46.00	1.58	3.44	90.00	1.00	1.11	100.50	5.00	4.98
R1	42.10	1.47	3.50	64.20	0.44	0.69	110.40	12.04	10.91
R2	47.50	2.89	6.09	62.30	1.60	2.57	97.77	13.57	13.88
R3	49.90	2.07	4.16	66.30	1.07	1.61	150.00	2.00	1.33
R4	55.00	1.17	2.13	61.70	1.34	2.17	108.50	16.31	15.03
R5	60.80	0.57	0.94	68.70	0.61	0.89	120.67	3.35	2.78

\*Note: Ave: Average; SD: Standard Deviation; CV: Coefficient of Variation.

with 3% Rediset combination has the highest elasticity of 150 cm compared to other modified binders. On the other hand, R2 has the lowest elasticity of 97.77 cm.

### 3.2. Penetration index

The penetration index (PI) represents a quantitative measure of asphalt binder on how it reacts to various temperatures. The changes in penetration and softening point have been notably shown when calculating the Penetration Index as a parameter of temperature susceptibility (Fig. 3). In this study, the addition of Rediset into PG76 affected the PI and changed the characteristic of the binder from low temperature susceptible with PI values above 2 to higher temperature susceptible binder. PI value increased to 2.2 again with 3 wt% and 5 wt% Rediset while with 4 wt%, the value decrease to below 2.0. It was clearly shown that since the softening point temperatures were not consistent; the penetration index gave the same pattern, even though the penetration values increased constantly.

### 3.3. Contact angles, wetting, and spreading

Wetting implies the tendency of a liquid to either blob or sheet on a surface. However, the behaviour is determined by the properties of the liquid and the surface. From the behaviour, a surface is classified as hydrophilic or hydrophobic. Water has remarkably large value of surface tension which can be attributed to the extensive hydrogen bonding interactions. Water molecule is attracted to surface with electrostatic charges (Bagampadde et al., 2004). By coating the aggregates with hydrophobic substances means a reduction in the water-aggregate adhesion free energy. Greater potential for hydrophilicity nature for aggregates will take place (Hamedi et al., 2020). In other words, for being hydrophobic, the asphalt binder will retard the water diffusion into the binder-aggregate system. Contact angle is one of the methods to measure wettability of a system (Good, 1992). For this study, the contact angles of the six samples are as shown in Fig. 4. It was obvious that with the increasing of WMA additive percentage, the contact angle between the water and material decreased. The control binder labelled as R0 has contact angle of 98.5° that clearly showed hydrophobic characteristic. Asphalt binder acted as a protective barrier to the aggregates from water damage. However, with time, water may diffuse into the binder and change the properties. This can lead to premature distress (Hung et al., 2017). When WMA additive being incorporated into the PMA, such as R1 with WMA additive of 1 wt% has a contact angle of 90.9°. This occurrence showed that the binder still has bad wetting or hydrophobic. As the




Fig. 3. Penetration Index of all tested binders.

content of WMA additive increased to 2 wt%, the contact angle decreased to 71.9°. The contact angles keep decreasing to 28.4° and dropped to 5.0° and finally to almost flat with about 2.0° when the quantity of WMA additive been added to 3, 4 and 5% respectively. The surface of the binders had turned to hydrophilic as the maximum percentage weight of WMA additive added into PG76 PMA. Omar et al. (2018) used this method to study the water damage resistance of nano-clay modified asphalt binder because the material is known to reduce water uptake. Their findings are satisfying and concluded that 4% of nano-clay particle is the optimum content to improve resistance to water damage.

The Spreading Parameter,  $S$  is a concept that enables the visualisation and understanding the effects of incorporation of Rediset into the PMA. In addition to the contact angle, the dispersion capability is also evaluated by the spreading parameter (Kalin and Polajnar, 2013), which, in general, represents the difference between adhesion work ( $W_a$ ) and cohesion work ( $W_c$ ), as in the following equation:

$$S = W_a - W_c = \gamma_s - (\gamma_{sl} + \gamma_l) \quad (5)$$

where  $W_a$  and  $W_c$  is the adhesion and cohesion work of the binder respectively and  $\gamma_s$  is the surface energy of the binder,  $\gamma_l$  is the surface energy of water, and  $\gamma_{sl}$  is the interfacial tension between binder and water. Table 4 lists the spreading parameter of each blending in this study. It is observed that for R0, when the contact angle was  $\theta > 90^\circ$ ,  $S < 0$ . This means that the surface was poorly wetted. As the content of Rediset increased, value of  $S > 0$  and  $\theta < 90^\circ$ . This showed that the surface is almost wetted. This result indicated that increasing content of Rediset allowed the spreading of water on the surface. The surface is deemed to be hydrophilic or poorly hydrophobic.

### 3.4. Thermogravimetry analysis

The thermogravimetric analysis curves (TGA and DTG) of R0, R1, R2, R3, R4, and R5 are shown in the thermograms (Fig. 5a–f). TGA curve represents the mass loss in the function of temperature. All samples show single step degradation. It clearly falls into the criteria of thermal decomposition with the formation of gaseous reaction products. Thus, it is noticeably seen that the plot has three zones. The first zone represents the elimination of moisture and volatiles compounds which sometimes refers to the drying phase while the second zone is the crucial part that signifies the decomposition of the materials. The third zone represents the sublimation process. On the other hand, a differential thermogravimetry curve (DTG) is generated together in the same plot as the first derivative of the weight with respect to temperature or time. The DTG curve is used to provide the peak height and temperature at maximum weight loss measurements for this study.

Fig. 5a represents the TGA and DTG curves for R0 – the control sample. Without any addition of Rediset, the binder starts to decompose at 406.5 °C while the peak temperature of maximum weight loss is at 463.6 °C. The decomposition process of R1 starts at temperature of 393.8 °C and the peak temperature is 459.5 °C. For other blending combinations, the decomposition starts at temperature more than 360 °C and ends at temperature less than 500 °C. It is noticeable that all the specimens show maximum weight loss at temperature  $\geq 450$  °C. As for the percent of weight loss during the process, R1 shows the highest with 91.66% while R3 gives the lowest value of 66.91%. Fig. 5d seems to show weight loss slightly exceeds 100%. Since asphalt binder volatilizes (gives off vapour) when heated, it will foam and make contact with the furnace wall. When this happen, the increasing of weight might occur seemingly. There were also some fluctuations in DTG curves. Fig. 5f shows




Fig. 4. Contact angles determined by goniometer for all the samples.

**Table 4**  
Spreading parameter for all samples.

Sample ID	R0	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5
Spreading parameter, S	-109.26	-92.04	-49.28	35.64	67.52	70.76

several obvious fluctuations in the DTG curve. The fluctuations in DTG curve exist due to the disturbance during the mass loss during the thermal decomposition process because DTG curve represent the rate of change of mass. This noise might occur from physical effects of heat and mass transfer, minor reactions or chemical effect, or unforeseen experimental errors that affect the mass loss data such as sudden loss of mass resulting from the expulsion of part of the sample when it decays with the formation of gas. The results illustrated that even though PMA has been added together with WMA additive, the new binders are stable beyond their mixing and compaction temperatures.

### 3.5. Leaching test

#### 3.5.1. Tank leaching

Charts presented in Fig. 6 are the cumulative concentration of each element obtained from ICP-MS at day 64 for every sample. The charts are in  $\log_{10}$  axis to clearly show the overall the pattern of the analysis. Most elements detected at concentration of below  $0.1 \text{ mg/m}^2$ . There were also some elements detected to be in the range of  $1.0\text{--}10.0 \text{ mg/m}^2$  identified as aluminium (Al), calcium (Ca), Iron (Fe), magnesium (Mg), strontium (Sr), and zinc (Zn). At the same time, potassium (K) and sodium (Na) (also known as Natrium) was found to be contributing the most weightage with concentration of more than  $100 \text{ mg/m}^2$ . However, K and Na are no threat to the public health. The recommended daily requirement for K is greater than 3000 mg and is seldom found in drinking water (World Health Organization, 2017) thus the trace of K found in the tested material can be neglected because there is no evidence of this element to pose any risk for the health of consumers. On the other hand, Na is a common component found in almost all food and drinking water. Even though more than 200 mg/L of sodium gives unacceptable taste, there is no proposed concentration as health-based guideline.


#### 3.5.2. Heavy metal

Six (6) common heavy metals have been given extra attention in this study because of the threat they bring to soil and groundwater. Such study had been conducted by a number of researchers. Poshtegal and Mirbagheri (2019) studied the presence of As, Mn, Ni, Se, Pb, Al, and Fe while Zarcinas et al. (2004) (Zarcinas et al., 2004) focused on As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Ni, Pb and Zn for their study. The elements selected for this study are Cu, Zn, Ni, Pb, Cr and Fe. Fig. 5 shows six charts representing each element in every sample.

The purpose of running the tank leaching test was to observe the leaching trend of the blending combination. The observation was plotted in a cumulative graph for each element to monitor how much leachate produced at designated time as well as the total leaching after 64 days being immersed in acidic water. All six heavy metals showed an increasing trend. The running totals increased at every designated time. As the number of day increase, the total concentration of the elements was also increasing.

Fig. 7 showed the presence of Cu, Zn, Ni, Pb, Cr and Fe in cumulative value for each percentage combination of PMA and WMA additive. Fig. 6a shows that the reading for Cu for all samples which have similar pattern except R0 and R1 which have higher reading on day 9 and day 16 respectively. The reading for Fe is shown in Fig. 6b where R2 shows the highest concentration all along compared to other samples. However, the values are consistent with small differences. On the other hand, concentration for Ni as shown in Fig. 6c shows only R4 has higher increment at day 36 while other sample showed a consistent pattern. Meanwhile, readings for Pb concentrations showed uneven pattern for all samples. The plots can be seen clearly in Fig. 6d. The concentration for Cr as shown in Fig. 6e seems to be divided into two patterns. One is where the increment is consistent and another one shows a slightly higher reading at day 36 for R3, R4, and R5. Finally, Fig. 6f shows a uniform curve pattern for all samples.

Reliability test measures the consistency of the element concentration for each sample compared to days of test as well as the repeatability of the test. The correlation between the concentration of element for each sample and the number of days was determined. Table 5 shows the Pearson's correlation coefficient for each element obtained from each sample. All the coefficients are positive in values. The value carries the meaning that for every additional day of being submerged, the concentration of element increased. This relationship is true for all samples. However, the values varied



**Fig. 5.** TGA and DTG thermograms for each sample  
 \*note: wt. loss: weight loss;  $T_{peak}$ : peak temperature.

from 0.51 to 0.97. Nonetheless, 89% of values are more than 0.7 indicating that the two variables have strong relationship. To further analyse the reliability of the test, Spearman correlation coefficient was used to examine the strength and direction of between the two variables. It is found that all relationships give  $r_s = 1$ ; meaning by ordinary standards, the relationship between the two variables considered to be statistically significant. By comparing Pearson's correlation coefficient to Spearman correlation coefficient, the two are in such relationship that while one variable increases when the other increases, the amount is not consistent.

### 3.5.3. Reading at day 64


Fig. 8 comprises all six heavy metal reading at day 64 for all samples. The charts are meant to give overall view of the cumulative concentration of heavy metals of every blending combination. As per see, 4 elements showing a reduction of concentration as the WMA additive quantity increased. Cu and Fe show a consistent pattern while for Ni, R5 gives the same concentration with R4. From Fig. 8, it is found that Zn to be significantly higher than other elements. One of the reasons why is that, Zn is an ordinary element that come about naturally in water, soil, and air. Zn is known to be a soluble metal and it is very pH reliant. The deterioration of the asphalt binder is also accountable for the increasing discharge of

zinc (Aalbers et al., 1996). Thus, the source of Zn could be contributed by both substances, the binder and the acidic water. Zn shows a pattern of concentration increased as the content of WMA additive increased. Nonetheless, the relationship is only true up to the loading of 2 wt%. The concentration was then reduced when 3 wt% of WMA additive was added. The concentration continued to drop at 4 wt% and it became constant when the addition of Rediset is 5%. Since Rediset LQ is also soluble, the concentration of Zn might reduce due to the reaction between the elements in the Rediset-asphalt binder and water. However, the reaction only goes beyond consistent when more than 3% of Rediset added into PG76. As for Cu which also a soluble metal, the reduction of concentration as the Rediset content increasing might happened due to the same reason.

### 3.5.4. Drinking water

The leaching elements was then analysed for drinking water standard. Drinking water is defined as water that consumed by human which does not contain any compounds, alone or combined with other compounds as well as any parasites and microorganisms in such concentration that can be harmful to health (World Health Organization, 2017). Table 6 lists the concentrations of heavy metals detected via ICPMS from the studied samples. Heavy metal





**Fig. 6.** Cumulative concentrations of each element measured after day 64.

toxicity can be a threat to human. Pb gives various symptoms related to the nervous system and lead poisoning. Headache, irritability, abdominal pain are among the common symptoms caused by Pb (Järup, 2003). As for Fe, even though it is useful to human body, it can be a threat because it can generate hydroxyl radical ( $\text{OH}\bullet$ ) while Ni has extensive range of carcinogenic mechanism. Engwa et al. (2016) also listed that Cu and Cr have been recognised to partake in oxidation and reduction reactions and generate free

radicals from  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  respectively. Generally, all the results are below the minimum standard of WHO and the local authority, Ministry of Health, Malaysia. Amongst all the samples, R0 has the highest reading of Cu concentration; 0.001425 mg/L while R1 found to be the highest with Fe, Ni, and Zn concentration after 64 days with readings of 0.012328 mg/L, 0.000907 mg/L, and 0.02743 mg/L respectively. As per element of Pb, R3 showed the highest reading of 0.004733 mg/L and lastly, Cr found to be the highest in R4;

0.00078 mg/L. Thus, even though the blends are to be submerged under rainwater for 64 days, the water from nearby well is drinkable. However, in actual pavement, there are possibilities of heavy metal elements released from the surface water pressure in the tyre-water-pavement system (Duong and Lee, 2011). However, this study focused on the binder material which plays an important role in pavement. This study initiates the possibilities of more complex source of drinking water contamination due to road construction.

3.5.5. Heavy metal evaluation index (HEI), Heavy Metal Pollution Index (HPI), degree of contamination ( $C_d$ ), and metal quality index (MQI)

Heavy metal evaluation index (HEI), Heavy metal pollution index (HPI), Degree of contamination ( $C_d$ ), and Metal quality index (MQI) are practical indices used to assess heavy metal contamination. These indices give a composite influence of selected metals on the overall groundwater quality. The calculated indexes for the PG76-Rediset combinations are summarised in Table 7. The calculated heavy metal evaluation index which gives an overall quality of




Fig. 6. (continued).




Fig. 7. Six selected elements of (a) Cu, (b) Zn, (c) Ni, (d) Pb, (e) Cr and (f) Fe in cumulative values for every blend combination.

Table 5  
Pearson's Correlation Coefficient for each element obtained from each sample.

Sample	Pearson Correlation Coefficient					
	Cr	Cu	Ni	Pb	Zn	Fe
R0	0.83	0.84	0.92	0.57	0.98	0.67
R1	0.84	0.89	0.90	0.71	0.81	0.76
R2	0.92	0.85	0.89	0.53	0.51	0.70
R3	0.93	0.89	0.89	0.80	0.64	0.74
R4	0.97	0.91	0.92	0.73	0.72	0.74
R5	0.95	0.91	0.94	0.77	0.82	0.77

the water with respect to heavy metals. Of all the samples, R2 owns the minimum value that is 0.262, while R3 gives the maximum value of 1.090. However, the values obtained for this index are below 5 which indicates that for every percentage weight of Rediset added into PG 76 is in low degree of pollution.

Meanwhile, the HPI which based on the weighted arithmetic quality mean method represent the total quality of water with respect to heavy metals. For this index, any number less than 90 is considering as low degree of pollution. In this study, the ranges of value calculated are between 71.584 and 74.118. With mean value of 73.479, the blending combinations are all in low degree of pollution.

The degree of contamination ( $C_d$ ) is a calculation method to evaluate the contamination index of water quality. Each sample is computed separately and all samples show value less than 1.0. This means that even after 64 days being submerged in acidic water, the combination of blendings in this study are in low degree of

pollution.

Finally, for this study, the concentration of a metal was compared to its respective maximum permissible limit to get the metal quality index (MQI). For the water to be able for consumption, MQI value should be > 1 (Tamasi and Cini, 2004). For this study, all samples showed the value < 1. Thus, the blending was safe to be used near water source.

#### 4. Conclusion

From the physical tests data, i.e. penetration, softening point and ductility tests, the addition of Rediset maintained the physical properties of PG76. The quality specification for all Rediset-modified PG76 samples did not show any significant decrease in their physical performances, thus indicating they are suitable for practical application. Thermal analysis via TGA showed that the new materials decomposed at temperature more than 300 °C. Even though WMA additive had influence in lowering the compacting and mixing temperatures, the materials were not susceptible to high temperature. Thus, they are suitable for the application in tropical countries as well. Wetting and spreading behaviours of the blending were also perceived by contact angle method. An increasing amount of WMA additive content allowed the spreading of water on the surface. The surface was deemed to be hydrophilic or poorly hydrophobic. However, further investigation on leaching test showed that these behaviours did not affect the chemical reaction during the test. As for the environmental effect from the blending, the results showed that the present of all leached heavy metals were complied with WHO and local MOH drinking water




Fig. 8. Cumulative readings of Cu, Zn, Ni, Pb, Cr, and Fe at day 64.

Table 6

Concentrations of heavy metals detected in comparison to WHO and MOH Standard.

Sample	Analyte	Concentration			Standards		
		mg/L	Conc. SD	Conc. RSD	World Health Organization, 2017 Drinking Water	MOH Raw Water	MOH Drinking Water
R0	Cr	0.000297	0.11	38.60	0.05	0.05	0.05
	Cu	0.001425	0.19	13.30	2.00	1.00	1.00
	Fe	0.007316	1.10	15.00	0.30	1.00	0.30
	Ni	0.000851	0.02	1.90	0.07	–	0.02
	Pb	0.001421	0.10	7.20	0.01	0.05	0.01
	Zn	0.015875	2.21	13.90	3.00	3.00	3.00
R1	Cr	0.000115	0.01	6.20	0.05	0.05	0.05
	Cu	0.001334	0.08	5.70	2.00	1.00	1.00
	Fe	0.012328	0.24	2.00	0.30	1.00	0.30
	Ni	0.000907	0.08	9.00	0.07	–	0.02
	Pb	0.001057	0.04	3.50	0.01	0.05	0.01
	Zn	0.02743	0.06	0.20	3.00	3.00	3.00
R2	Cr	0.000261	0.07	27.20	0.05	0.05	0.05
	Cu	0.001207	0.30	24.70	2.00	1.00	1.00
	Fe	0.005976	1.04	17.40	0.30	1.00	0.30
	Ni	0.000791	0.10	13.20	0.07	–	0.02
	Pb	0.000563	0.02	2.90	0.01	0.05	0.01
	Zn	0.009853	0.33	3.40	3.00	3.00	3.00
R3	Cr	0.000228	0.03	15.20	0.05	0.05	0.05
	Cu	0.001134	0.16	14.00	2.00	1.00	1.00
	Fe	0.007042	1.04	14.80	0.30	1.00	0.30
	Ni	0.000689	0.05	7.60	0.07	–	0.02
	Pb	0.004733	0.11	2.20	0.01	0.05	0.01
	Zn	0.011006	0.06	0.60	3.00	3.00	3.00
R4	Cr	0.00078	0.03	4.00	0.05	0.05	0.05
	Cu	0.001154	0.04	3.70	2.00	1.00	1.00
	Fe	0.006452	0.49	7.60	0.30	1.00	0.30
	Ni	0.000765	0.10	13.10	0.07	–	0.02
	Pb	0.000743	0.02	2.50	0.01	0.05	0.01
	Zn	0.008674	0.04	0.40	3.00	3.00	3.00
R5	Cr	0.000566	0.05	9.60	0.05	0.05	0.05
	Cu	0.001297	0.05	4.10	2.00	1.00	1.00
	Fe	0.009202	1.16	12.60	0.30	1.00	0.30
	Ni	0.000831	0.07	7.90	0.07	–	0.02
	Pb	0.00075	0.03	4.40	0.01	0.05	0.01
	Zn	0.020293	0.67	3.30	3.00	3.00	3.00

\* Note: Conc. SD: Standard Deviation of concentration.  
Conc. RSD: Relative Standard Deviation of concentration.

standards. The blending has no threat to the drinking water even though at worst case scenario of flood.

#### CRediT authorship contribution statement

**Suzielah Rahmad:** Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. **Nur Izz Md Yusoff:** Supervision, Funding acquisition. **Syazwani Mohd Fadzil:** Investigation. **Khairiah Haji Badri:**

**Table 7**

Summary of Heavy Metal Evaluation index (HEI), Heavy Metal Pollution Index (HPI), Degree of Contamination ( $C_d$ ), and Metal Quality Index (MQI).

Sample ID	HEI	HPI	Cd	MQI
R0	0.458	73.517	-5.971	0.029
R1	0.421	73.690	-5.972	0.028
R2	0.262	74.118	-5.982	0.018
R3	1.090	71.584	-5.941	0.059
R4	0.309	74.016	-5.979	0.021
R5	0.339	73.950	-5.977	0.023
<b>Minimum</b>	<b>0.262</b>	<b>71.584</b>	<b>-5.982</b>	<b>0.018</b>
<b>Maximum</b>	<b>1.090</b>	<b>74.118</b>	<b>-5.941</b>	<b>0.059</b>
<b>Mean</b>	<b>0.480</b>	<b>73.479</b>	<b>-5.970</b>	<b>0.030</b>

\*All values in mg/m<sup>2</sup>.

Writing - review & editing, Supervision. **Ahmad Kamil Arshad:** Formal analysis. **Iswandaru Widyatmoko:** Writing - review & editing, Supervision. **Sri Atmaja P. Rosyidi:** Formal analysis.

### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM) for the financial support for this work through grant No. GUP-2018-094.

### References

Aalbers, T., Wilde, P. de, Rood, G., 1996. Environmental Quality of Primary and Secondary Construction Materials in Relation to Re-use and Protection of Soil and Surface Water.

Abdullah, M.E., Hainin, M.R., Yusoff, N.I.M., Zamhari, K.A., Hassan, N., 2016. Laboratory evaluation on the characteristics and pollutant emissions of nanoclay and chemical warm mix asphalt modified binders. *Construct. Build. Mater.* 113, 488–497. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.03.068>.

Akisetty, C.K., Lee, S.J., Amirhanian, S.N., 2009. High temperature properties of rubberized binders containing warm asphalt additives. *Construct. Build. Mater.* 23, 565–573. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2007.10.010>.

AkzoNobel, 2014. Rediset® LQ - 1106.

Ali, Tariq, Iqbal, Nouman, Mehboob Ali, Dr, Khan shahzada, Dr, 2013. Sustainability assessment of bitumen with polyethylene as polymer. *IOSR J. Mech. Civ. Eng.* 10, 1–6.

Aminah Shakirah, J., Sidek, L.M., Hidayah, B., Nazirul, M.Z., Jajarmzadeh, M., Ros, F.C., Roseli, Z.A., 2016. A review on flood events for kelantan river watershed in Malaysia for last decade (2001–2010). *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* 32 <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/32/1/012070>.

Aqilah, N., Hanim, F., Rahmad, S., Mohd, S., Ali, H., Izzi, N., Haji, K., 2020. Engineering and leaching properties of asphalt binders modified with polyurethane and Cecabase additives for warm-mix asphalt application. *Construct. Build. Mater.* 238, 117699 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.117699>.

ASTM, 2012. E 1131 - 08 Standard Test Method for Compositional Analysis by Thermogravimetry.

Bagampadde, U., Isacson, U., Kiggundu, B.M., 2004. Classical and contemporary aspects of stripping in bituminous mixes. *Road Mater. Pavement Des.* 5, 7–43. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14680629.2004.9689961>.

Boczkaj, G., Przyjazny, A., Kamin, M., 2014. Chemosphere Characteristics of volatile organic compounds emission profiles from hot road bitumens. *Chemosphere* 107, 23–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.02.070>.

Chai, J.C., Onitsuk, K., Hayashi, S., 2009. Cr(VI) concentration from batch contact/tank leaching and column percolation test using fly ash with additives. *J. Hazard Mater.* 166, 67–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.11.010>.

Chen, J., Wang, H., Wu, J., Xu, G., 2018. Evaluation of asphalt effect on water quality using leaching test and molecular simulation. *J. Test. Eval.* 46, 2121–2129. <https://doi.org/10.1520/JTE20170010>.

Duong, T.T.T., Lee, B.K., 2011. Determining contamination level of heavy metals in road dust from busy traffic areas with different characteristics. *J. Environ. Manag.* 92, 554–562. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.09.010>.

Edet, A.E., Offiong, O.E., 2002. Evaluation of water quality pollution indices for heavy metal contamination monitoring. A study case from Akpabuyo-Odukpani area, Lower Cross River Basin (southeastern Nigeria). *Geojournal* 57, 295–304.

<https://doi.org/10.1023/B:GEJO.0000007250.92458.de>.

Engwa, G.A., Ferdinand, Paschaline Udoka, Nwalo, F.N., Unachukwu, M.N., 2016. Mechanism and health effects of heavy metal toxicity in humans. In: *Poisoning in the Modern World - New Tricks for an Old Dog?*, pp. 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.5772/57353>.

Fadzil, S.M., Sarmani, S., Majid, A.A., Khoo, K.S., Hamzah, A., 2011. KO-INAA measurement of levels of toxic elements in oil sludge and their leachability. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* 287, 41–47. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-010-0861-5>.

Gómez, C., Bosecker, K., 1999. Leaching heavy metals from contaminated soil by using thiobacillus ferrooxidans or thiobacillus thiooxidans. *Geomicrobiol. J.* 16, 233–244. <https://doi.org/10.1080/014904599270613>.

Good, R.J., 1992. Contact angle, wetting, and adhesion: a critical review. *J. Adhes. Sci. Technol.* 6, 1269–1302.

Hamed, G.H., Shabani, A., Safargar, Y., 2020. Investigating the effect of hydrophobic additives in moisture damage reduction of asphalt mixtures. *Period. Polytech. Civ. Eng.* <https://doi.org/10.3311/ppci.15457>. <https://pp.bme.hu/ci/article/view/15457>.

Hassan, M.M., Khalid, H., 2010. Mechanical and environmental characteristics of bituminous mixtures with incinerator bottom ash aggregates. *Int. J. Pavement Eng.* 11, 83–94. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10298430802524800>.

Hill, B., Behnia, B., Hakimzadeh, S., Buttler, W., Reis, H., 2012. Evaluation of low-temperature cracking performance of warm-mix asphalt mixtures. *Transport. Res. Rec.* 81–88. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2294-09>.

Hung, A.M., Goodwin, A., Fini, E.H., 2017. Effects of water exposure on bitumen surface microstructure. *Construct. Build. Mater.* 135, 682–688. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.01.002>.

Hunter, R.N., Self, A., Read, J., 2015. Durability of bitumens. In: *The Shell Bitumen Handbook*. ICE Publishing, pp. 157–170.

Järup, L., 2003. Hazards of heavy metal contamination. *Br. Med. Bull.* 68, 167–182. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bmb/ldg032>.

Kalin, M., Polajnar, M., 2013. The correlation between the surface energy, the contact angle and the spreading parameter, and their relevance for the wetting behaviour of DLC with lubricating oils. *Tribology Int* 66, 225–233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.triboint.2013.05.007>.

Legret, M., Odie, L., Demare, D., Jullien, A., 2005. Leaching of heavy metals and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from reclaimed asphalt pavement. *Water Res.* 39, 3675–3685. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2005.06.017>.

Leng, Zhen, Gamez, A., Al-Qadi, I.L., 2014. Mechanical property characterization of warm-mix asphalt prepared with chemical additives. *J. Mater. Civ. Eng.* 27, 304–311. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)JMT](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)JMT).

Menapace, I., Masad, E., 2014. Microstructural, chemical and thermal analyses of warm mix asphalt. In: *Sustainability, Eco-Efficiency and Conservation in Transportation Infrastructure Asset Management*, pp. 157–168.

NEN 7347, 2006. Leaching characteristics of solid earthy and stony building and waste materials—leaching tests—determination of the leaching of inorganic components from compacted granular materials. *Environmental aspects of building, residual and waste materials*.

Omar, H.A., Yusoff, N.I.M., Ceylan, H., Rahman, I.A., Sajuri, Z., Jakarni, F.M., Ismail, A., 2018. Determining the water damage resistance of nano-clay modified bitumens using the indirect tensile strength and surface free energy methods. *Construct. Build. Mater.* 167, 391–402. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.02.011>.

Pesch, M.R.B., Rühl, R., Brüning, T., 2011. The human bitumen Study : executive summary. *Arch. Toxicol.* 85, 3–9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-011-0679-0>.

Porto, M., Caputo, P., Loise, V., Eskandarsefat, S., Teltayev, B., Rossi, C.O., 2019. Bitumen and bitumen Modification : a review on latest advances. *Appl. Sci.* 9, 35. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app9040742>.

Poshtegal, M.K., Mirbagheri, S.A., 2019. The heavy metals pollution index and water quality monitoring of the Zarrineh river, Iran. *Environ. Eng. Geosci.* 25, 179–188. <https://doi.org/10.2113/EEG-1996>.

Prasad, B., Bose, J.M., 2001. Evaluation of the heavy metal pollution index for surface and spring water near a limestone mining area of the lower Himalayas. *Environ. Geol.* 41, 183–188. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002540100380>.

Rahmad, S., Izzi, N., Atmaja, S., Rosyidi, P., Haji, K., 2020. Effects of Rediset on the adhesion, stripping, thermal and surface morphologies of PG76 binder. *Construct. Build. Mater.* 241, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.117923>.

Singh, A., Sharma, R.K., Agrawal, M., Marshall, F.M., 2010. Risk assessment of heavy metal toxicity. *Trop. Ecol.* 51, 375–387.

Tamasi, G., Cini, R., 2004. Heavy metals in drinking waters from Mount Amiata (Tuscany, Italy). Possible risks from arsenic for public health in the Province of Siena. *Sci. Total Environ.* 327, 41–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2003.10.011>.

Vahora, S.I., Mishra, C.B., 2017. Investigating the performance of warm mix additives. *Int. J. Curr. Eng. Technol.* 7, 1011–1015.

Vidal, R., Moliner, E., Martínez, G., Rubio, M.C., 2013. Life cycle assessment of hot mix asphalt and zeolite-based warm mix asphalt with reclaimed asphalt pavement. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* 74, 101–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2013.02.018>.

Widyatmoko, I., Elliott, R.C., Read, J.M., 2016. Development of heavy-duty mastic asphalt bridge surfacing, incorporating trinidad lake asphalt and polymer modified binders. *Int. J. Road Mater. Pavement Des.* 6/4, 469–483. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14680629.2005.9690016>.

Wood, J.M., 1974. Biological cycles for toxic elements in the environment. *Science* 84

- 183, 1049–1052. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.183.4129.1049>.
- World Health Organization, 2017. *Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality: Fourth Edition Incorporating the First Addendum*.
- Xiao, F., Punith, V.S., Amirkhanian, S.N., 2012. Effects of non-foaming WMA additives on asphalt binders at high performance temperatures. *Fuel* 94, 144–155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2011.09.017>.
- Xu, S., Xiao, F., Amirkhanian, S., Singh, D., 2017. Moisture characteristics of mixtures with warm mix asphalt technologies – a review. *Construct. Build. Mater.* 142, 148–161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.03.069>.
- Yan, K., You, L., Wang, D., 2019. High-temperature performance of polymer-modified asphalt mixes: preliminary evaluation of the usefulness of standard technical index in polymer-modified asphalt. *Polymers* 11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym11091404>.
- Yusoff, N.I.M., Jakarni, F.M., Nguyen, V.H., Hainin, M.R., Airey, G.D., 2013. Modelling the rheological properties of bituminous binders using mathematical equations. *Construct. Build. Mater.* 40, 174–188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2012.09.105>.
- Yusoff, N.I.M., Broom, A.A.S., Alattug, H.N.M., Hamim, A., Ahmad, J., 2014. The effects of moisture susceptibility and ageing conditions on nano-silica/polymer-modified asphalt mixtures. *Construct. Build. Mater.* 72, 139–147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.09.014>.
- Zarcinas, B.A., Ishak, C.F., McLaughlin, M.J., Cozens, G., 2004. Heavy metals in soils and crops in Southeast Asia. 1. Peninsular Malaysia. *Environ. Geochem. Health* 26, 343–357. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-005-4669-0>.
- Zawawi, M.H., Syafalni, Abustan, I., 2011. Detection of groundwater aquifer using resistivity imaging profiling at Beriah Landfill Site, Perak, Malaysia. *Adv. Mater. Res.* 250–253, 1852–1855. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.250-253.1852>.
- Zhu, J., Birgisson, B., Kringos, N., 2014. Polymer modification of bitumen: advances and challenges. *Eur. Polym. J.* 54, 18–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2014.02.005>.