

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   |          |
|---|----------|
| COVER   |          |
| TABLE OF CONTENT  | i        |
| LIST OF FIGURES   | iv       |
| LIST OF TABLES  | v        |
| ABSTRACT  | vi       |
| <b>CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION</b>                           | <b>1</b> |
| 1.1 Background  | 1        |
| 1.2 Research Questions                                  | 4        |
| 1.3 Research Objectives                                 | 5        |
| 1.4 Research Benefits                                   | 5        |
| 1.4.1 Theoretical Benefits                              | 5        |
| 1.4.2 Practical Benefits                                | 5        |
| <b>CHAPTER II THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK</b>                 | <b>7</b> |
| 2.1 Theoretical Framework                               | 7        |
| 2.1.1 Crowdsourcing Model                               | 7        |
| 2.1.2 Social Media Development                          | 9        |
| 2.1.3 Local Government in the IT Era of Social Media    | 10       |
| 2.1.4 Crowdsourcing in Social Media of Local Government | 18       |
| 2.2 Research Model                                      | 20       |
| 2.3 Hypothesis  | 21       |
| 2.4 Conceptual Definition                               | 21       |

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| 2.5 Operational Definition                                       | 22        |
| <b>CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD</b>                               | <b>24</b> |
| 3.1 Research Design  | 24        |
| 3.2 Research Location  | 25        |
| 3.3 Data Classification  | 25        |
| 3.4 Technique Analysis   | 26        |
| 3.5 Unit of Data Analysis  | 28        |
| 3.6 Population   | 28        |
| 3.7 Sampling Technique   | 28        |
| 3.8 Respondents of the Research                                  | 29        |
| 3.9 Data Analysis Technique                                      | 29        |
| 3.9.1 Regression Analysis  | 30        |
| 3.9.2 Network Analysis   | 32        |
| <b>CHAPTER IV RESEARCH OBJECT DESCRIPTION</b>                    | <b>33</b> |
| 4.1 Surabaya's Government  | 33        |
| 4.2 Government Structure of ICT                                  | 35        |
| 4.3 Governmnet Structure of Social Media                         | 42        |
| <b>CHAPTER V RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS</b>                          | <b>45</b> |
| 5.1 Description of Respondents Profie                            | 45        |
| 5.2 The Outer Model : Validity and Reliability of the Indicators | 50        |
| 5.3 The Inner Model : R Square, Q2, VIF, an F2                   | 54        |

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| 5.4 Hypothesis Testing : Regression Analysis   | 57        |
| 5.5 Discussion   | 59        |
| 5.5.1 Crowdsourcing is adopted for social media in<br>local government                     | 61        |
| 5.5.2 Objective Information Technology espouse social media<br>in local government         | 63        |
| 5.5.3 Crowdsourcing influence coordination o institutional<br>form in enacted social media | 65        |
| 5.5.4 Institutional arrangement espouse by crowdsourcing                                   | 73        |
| 5.5.5 The information contained in crowdsourcing has effect<br>in policy making            | 76        |
| <b>CHAPTER VI CONCLUSIONS, IMPLICATIONS<br/>AND RECOMMENDATION</b>                         | <b>78</b> |
| 6.1 Summary of Findings  | 79        |
| 6.2 Implications   | 83        |
| 6.2.1 Implication to Theory  | 83        |
| 6.2.2 Implication to Practice  | 84        |
| 6.3 Recommendation   | 84        |
| <b>REFERENCES</b>  | <b>86</b> |

## LIST OF FIGURES

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Figure 2.1 Crowdsourcing Process  | 7  |
| Figure 2.2 Fountain's Technology Enactment Model                              | 15 |
| Figure 2.3 Research Model   | 20 |
| Figure 4.1 the Structure of ICT office  | 38 |
| Figure 5.1 Characteristic of Respondents by Gender                            | 46 |
| Figure 5.2 Characteristic of Respondents by Education                         | 47 |
| Figure 5.3 Characteristic of Respondents by Institutions                      | 48 |
| Figure 5.4 Social Media widely Most Used                                      | 49 |
| Figure 5.5 The structural model showing the corresponding p-value             | 56 |
| Figure 5.6 Media User in Surabaya's Government                                | 62 |
| Figure 5.7 Command Centre of Surabaya's Government                            | 67 |
| Figure 5.8 Facebook Page Analysis by Gephi Application                        | 68 |
| Figure 5.9 Top Fifth Institutions Got an assessment from Citizen              | 71 |
| Figure 5.10 Crowdsourcing Process in Social Media of<br>Surabaya's Government | 75 |

## LIST OF TABLES

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table 2.1 The Factors of Impacting Making of a Decision on<br>Applying Crowdsourcing     | 8  |
| Table 2.2 Comparison of Traditional Government and<br>Digital Government                 | 12 |
| Table 2.3. Classification of Institutional Arrangements in<br>Technology Changes         | 14 |
| Table 2.4 Operational Definition   | 23 |
| Table 3.1 Data Classification  | 26 |
| Table 3.2 Score of Questioner  | 27 |
| Table 3.3 Distribution of Surabaya Respondents by Department<br>/Agencies and position   | 29 |
| Table 4.1 Site of Government Offices in Surabaya City                                    | 43 |
| Tabel 5.1 The Procentation Of Education Level Of The Official<br>Admins Of Social Media  | 47 |
| Table 5.2 Discriminant Validity –<br>Heterotrait- Monotrait Ratio of Correlations (HTMT) | 51 |
| Table 5.3 Factor Analysis  | 53 |
| Table 5.4 Assessment of the Structural Model   | 54 |
| Tabel 5.5 Model Fit Analysis   | 57 |
| Table 5.6 Hypothesis Relationship  | 58 |
| Tabel 5.7 Facilitaties in Government Agencies  | 64 |
| Tabel 5.8 Facebook Analysis Partition by Gephi Application                               | 69 |