

## CHAPTER FIVE

### CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

#### A. Conclusion

Based on the previous discussion in Chapter IV, the researcher comes to the conclusions that:

1. The Presidential Threshold in Article 222 of the Law Number 7 of 2017 on General Election is not relevant if it is implemented in general election 2019. It is because of Presidential Threshold makes the legislative election result in 2014 as the requirements of Presidential election; hence the consequence of the existence of the presidential threshold is that the new political parties cannot propose the presidential candidate.
2. There are two alternatives for choosing Presidential Threshold as the concept of election that will be applied in simultaneous election. First, return to the system of general election in 2014 when legislative and Presidential elections were conducted in separated time. Second, the Presidential Threshold should be abolished for the simultaneous general election as it is not relevant anymore and all of the parties can propose their Presidential candidate without worrying the Presidential Threshold.

## **B. Recommendation**

Based on the problem that has been discussed, there are some recommendations which can be proposed to the Indonesian Government especially the House of Representative and President as follows:

1. The DPR should revise the Article 222 on the Presidential Threshold of the Law Number 7 of 2017 on General Election. Regarding the upcoming election in 2019, there should be no Presidential Threshold.
2. The DPR should choose for a better model of the Presidential Election whether the election is returned to the separate election as implemented in previous election or still uses the Presidential Threshold or uses the newest system of simultaneous election without the Presidential Threshold.