

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study entitled, *Public Support towards the 'War on Drugs' strategies: The case of Philippines* is written from the academic desire to provide a psychologically-informed analysis of the popular support enjoyed by the Philippine Drug War. With high hopes, this research can potentially aid a research-based intervention to foster greater public support for public policies.

This research is a product of the researcher's hard work and the guidance of those surrounding her. It is a testament of God's grace and faithful providence. It is also a testament of a constant learning curve that is meant to be undertaken. Hence, I would like to extend my sincerest gratitude and indebtedness to the people and the institutions that have been instrumental to the completion of my second academic journey.

1. To Mr. and Mrs. Jonathan and Gloria Tomaro, who have told me repeatedly that success comes when you work for it and that quitting is never an option one must think of, thank you for the constant understanding and guidance.

2. To Jusuf Kalla School of Government and to its director, Prof. Dr. Achmad Nurmandi, M.Sc., for the financial support and the expert guidance.
3. To Dr. Dyah Mutiarin, for all the support in matters concerning my stay in Indonesia, and in the University. My thankfulness is without bounds.
4. To Eko Priyo Purnomo, M.Si., M.Res., Ph.D, Dr. Rahmawati Hussein, and Dr. Phil. Ridho Alhamdi for providing intelligent insights that have been valuable in the enhancement of this research,
5. To the Department of Government Affairs and Administration and its staff, for all the aid, accompaniment, and assistance they have offered.
6. My fellow scholars, Hazel, Yasmira, Sir Iking, and Ate Chanda, for always being the ears that have kept me sane and the balm to the cold solitary life of being a foreign student.

7. To my sisters, Kristine Michelle and Princess Marie, for inspiring me and supporting me all the way. This is for our dreams.
8. And finally, Glory to the Almighty God, The King eternal and immortal. He alone is wise and may all glory and honor be his, forever.

Yogyakarta, 02 June 2018

Queenie Pearl V. Tomaro
20161040036

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGES
TITLE PAGE -----	i
PERNYATAAN KEASLIAN -----	ii
HALAMAN PENGESAHAN PEMBIMBING -----	iii
PENGESAHAN TIM PENGUJI -----	iv
LEMBAR REVISI -----	v
PENGESAHAN PROGRAM STUDI -----	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT -----	vii
ABSTRACT -----	x
ABSTRAK -----	xi
TABLE OF CONTENTS -----	xiii
LIST OF TABLES -----	xvii
LIST OF FIGURES -----	xviii
LIST OF APPENDICES -----	xx

CHAPTER

1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study -----	1
1.2 Research Questions -----	9
1.3 Hypotheses of the Study -----	10
1.4 Objectives of the Study -----	10
1.5 Significance of the Study -----	11
1.6 Benefits -----	13
1.7 Scope and Limitations of the Study -----	17
2 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	19
2.1 Behavioral Public Administration -----	19
2.2 The Philippine Drug War -----	22
2.3 Public Support and Acceptance-----	29

2.4	Theory of Planned Behavior -----	34
2.5	Studies about Theory of Planned Behavior -----	40
2.6	Trust and Political Trust -----	49
2.7	Extent of Support-----	54
2.8	Theoretical Framework-----	64
2.9	Research Model-----	68
2.10	Operationalization of Variables-----	72
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	84
3.1	Research Design -----	84
3.2	Respondents of the Study -----	85
3.3	Sampling Population -----	89
3.4	Sampling Technique -----	90
3.5	Research Instrument -----	92
3.6	Data Gathering Procedures -----	93
3.7	Data Classification -----	94
3.8	Data Analysis -----	95
4	DESCRIPTION OF THE RESEARCH SETTING	97
4.1	Research Setting -----	97
4.2	University of San Carlos -----	103
4.3	University of San Jose Recoletos -----	104
4.4	Cebu Normal University -----	105
4.5	University of the Philippines Cebu -----	106
5	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	108
5.1	Demographic Profile-----	109
5.1.1	Demographic Profile: Age-----	109
5.1.2	Demographic Profile: Sex-----	110
5.1.3	Demographic Profile: University-----	111
5.2	Descriptive and Statistical Analysis-----	113
5.2.1	Reliability and Validity Analysis-----	113
5.2.2	Structural Model Test-----	116
5.2.3	Hypothesis Testing-----	117
5.3	Responses to variable indicators- Aggregate-----	124
5.4	Responses to variable indicators- Comparative-----	132
5.4.1	Responses from Cebu Normal University-----	133

5.4.2	Responses from University of San Jose-Recoletos--	138
5.4.3	Responses from University of San Carlos-----	143
5.4.4	Responses from University of the Philippines- Cebu-----	148
5.5	Extent of Support-----	154
5.5.1	Inform Level-----	155
5.5.2	Consult Level-----	156
5.5.3	Involve Level-----	157
5.5.4	Collaborate Level-----	158
5.5.5	Empower Level-----	159
5.6	Proposed Model-----	161
6	CONCLUSION	167
6.1	Summary of Findings-----	167
6.2	Implications-----	172
6.2.1	Implications to Theory-----	172
6.2.2	Implications to Practice-----	176
6.3	Recommendations-----	175
6.3.1	Recommendations for future research-----	176
6.3.2	Recommendation for Policy makers/government agencies-----	177
	REFERENCES -----	180
	APPENDICES -----	195

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
2.1	Anti-Drug Policies of Philippines	27
2.2	Components of Theory of Planned Behavior	40
2.3	Distinctions of Trust	50
2.4	The TPB Constructs with indicators and direct measures	74
2.6	Twelve forms of Public Support to War on Drugs	82
3.1	Data Classification	94
5.1	Measurement Model	114
5.2	Model Fit Analysis Results	116
5.3	Hypotheses Test	119
5.4	Effect Size	122
5.5	Summary of Hypotheses Tested	124
5.6	Inform Level: Aggregate Responses	155
5.7	Consult Level: Aggregate Responses	156
5.8	Involve Level: Aggregate Responses	157
5.9	Collaborate Level: Aggregate Responses	158
5.10	Empower Level: Aggregate Responses	159
5.11	Proposed Model: Variable Relationships (Re-test)	163
5.12	Model Fitness re-test for the Proposed Model	164

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Title	Page No.
2.1	Theory of Planned Behavior	34
2.2	IAP2's Spectrum of Public Participation	60
2.3	Research Model	69
3.1	Procedures of Data Gathering	93
4.1	Top ten cities/provinces with the highest Drug Personalities	98
4.2	Top ten cities/provinces with the highest number of high-value targets	99
	Map of Cebu City	100
4.4	Location of University of San Carlos	104
4.5	Location of University of San Jose Recoletos	105
4.6	Location of Cebu Normal University	106
4.7	Location of University of the Philippines-Cebu	107
5.1	Age Bracket of the Respondents	110
5.2	Sex Distribution of the respondents	111
5.3	University base distribution of sample	112
5.4	PLS Generated Results	118
5.5	Aggregate Responses: Attitude	125
5.6	Aggregate Responses: Subjective Norm	126
5.7	Aggregate Responses: Perceived Behavioral Control	128
5.8	Aggregate Responses: Political Trust	129
5.9	Aggregate Responses: Behavioral Intention/ Intention to Support	131
5.10	CNU Responses: Attitude	133
5.11	CNU Responses: Subjective Norm	134
5.12	CNU Responses: Perceived Behavioral Control	135
5.13	CNU Responses: Trust	136
5.14	CNU Responses: Behavioral Intention	137
5.15	USJR Responses: Attitude	138
5.16	USJR Responses: Subjective Norm	139
5.17	USJR Responses: Perceived Behavioral Control	140
5.18	USJR Responses: Trust	141

5.19	USJR Responses: Behavioral Intention	142
5.20	USC Responses: Attitude	143
5.21	USC Responses: Subjective Norm	144
5.22	USC Responses: Perceived Behavioral Control	145
5.23	USC Responses: Trust	146
5.24	USC Responses: Behavioral Intention	147
5.25	UP-C Responses: Attitude	148
5.26	UP-C Responses: Subjective Norm	150
5.27	UP-C Responses: Perceived Behavioral Control	151
5.28	UP-C Responses: Trust	152
5.29	UP-C Responses: Behavioral Intention	153
5.30	Proposed model of Public Support	161

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
A	SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE -----	195