DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Arikunto, S. 2002. *Prosedur penelitian suatu pendekatan praktek (edisi 5).* Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.

ACOG (The American Congres of Obstreticians ang Gynecologist). 2007.

Blackburn, S. T., Loper, D. L., (2004) *Maternal, Fetal, and neonatal Physiology: A Clinical Perspektive*. Philadelphia: W.B. Shaunders Company.

Chapman. V., (2006). *Asuhan Kebidanan, Persalinan, dan Kelahiran*. Jakarta: EGC

Cunningham, F. Gary. (2006). *Obsetri Williams Edisi 21 vol.1*. Jakarta: EGC

Cunningham, FG. 2007. *Williams Obstetrics.* 22nd Edition. New York: McGraw-Hill. p. 142.

Depkes.(2012). Profil Kesehatan Prov. DI Yogyakarta. Diakses pada tanggal 23 April, dari http://www.depkes.go.id

Fatkhiyah N. (2008). *Hubungan Status Paritas dengan Kejadian Persalinan Ketuban Pecah Dini di RSUD dr. Soeselo Slawi Kab. Tegal Tahun 2008,* Stikes Bhamada, Slawi

Fraser, D. M., Cooper, M. A., (2009). *Buku Ajar Bidan Myles, Ed-14.* Jakarta: EGC.

Gay, L.R., Diehl*, P.L. (1992*), *Research Methods for Business and. Management*, MacMillan Publishing Company, New York.

Gutierrez. R, Gustavo, Vera E, de Lean P, Vargas LF. (2007). Risk factors of Maternal Death in Mexico. *Birth,* Volume 34, pp. 21-25.

Guyton, Hall. (2008). *Fisiologi Kedokteran*. Jakarta: EGC

Harjono, R. 1996. *Kamus kedokteran dorland*. Jakarta: EGC.

Hartono. (2012). *Metodologi Penelitian Pekanbaru*: Zanafa Publishing.

Hidayati R. (2009). *Asuhan Keperawatan pada Kehamilan Fisiologi dan Patologis*. Jakarta: Salemba Medika.

Hosmer. D. W., (2013). *Applied Logistic Regression*. Jakarta:Gramedia

Juwita. A. R., (2007). *Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Terjadinya Ketuban Pecah Dini di Ruang VK Rumah Sakit Bhakti Rahayu Surabaya.* Tesis. Stikes YARSIS. Surabaya.

Leveno, K. J., Cunningham, G., Gant, N. F., alexander, J. M., bloom, S. L., Casey, B. M., Dashe, J. S., Sheffield, J. S., Yost, N. P. (2009*). Obstetrics William Panduan Ringkasan*, Jakarta:EGC.

Linder, M. C. (2006). *Biokimia Nutrisi dan Metabolisme dengan Pemakaian Secara Klinis.* Jakarta : Universitas Indonesia Press.

Loh, K. Y., Sivalingam, N., 2007. Urinary Tract Infections In Pergnancy. Malaysia: Academy of Family Physicians of Malaysia Available from:http://www.emfp.org/2007v2n2/pdf/Urinary%20tract%20infection %20in%20pregnancy.pdf

Majecko, A.M., Schaeffer,A.J. 2007. *Asymptomatic Bacteriuria and Symtomatic Urinary Tract Infections during Pregnancy. Urol Clin N Am*, 34:35-42.

Medina T. M, Hill A.(2006). Preterm Premature Rupture of Membranes: Diagnosis and Management. *American Family Physician*.

Muntoha, Suhartono, Endah N.W. (2013). Hubungan antara Riwayat Paparan Asap Rokok dengan Kejadian Ketuban Pecah Dini pada Ibu Hamil di RSUD Dr. H. Soewondo Kendal. *Jurnal Kesehatan Lingkungan Indonesia. Vol. 12 No. 1 / April 2013. 88-93.*

Nerissa, I.C., Sescon, Felice, G.M., et al. 2003. *Prevalence of Asymtomatic Bacteriaura and Associated Risk Factors in Pregnant Women. Phil J Microbiol Infect*, 32(2): 63-69.

Norwitz E, Schorge J. Obsetri & Ginekologi. *At a glance*. 2006. Jakarta: Erlangga

Notoatmodjo, S. 2002. *Metodologi penelitian kesehatan (edisi revisi).*   Jakarta:Rineka Cipta.

Osaikhuwuomwan, J, A., (2010). *Preterm Rupture Membrane : The Vitamin C Factor.* Departement of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Benin Teaching Hospital, PMB 11111, Benin City, Nigeria.

Parry, S., Strauss, J. F., (1998). *Mechanism of Diseases Premature Rupture of The Fetal Membranes*. The New England Journal of Medicine, 338(10): 663-670.

Pfefferc, F., (1998). *Modullation of 72-kilodalton Type IV Collagenase by Asorbic Acid in Culturen Human Amnion-Derived Cells*. Institute National de Perinatologia

Persatuan Obsetri Gynekologi Indonesia, (2006). *Ketuban Pecah Dini*

Prawirahardja, (2010). *Buku Ajar Ilmu Kebidanan*. Jakarta: Yayasan Bina Pustaka

Rani HAA, Soegondo S, Nasir AU et al. Standar Pelayanan Medik Ilmu Penyakit Dalam. Edisi 2004. Jakarta : Pusat Penerbitan IPD FKUI;2004

Riyami, Al., Al-Ruheili, I., Al-Shewasi, F., Al-Khabori, M., (2013). *Extreme Preterm Premature of Membranes: Risk Factors and Feto Maternal Outcame*. Oman Medical Journal Vol.28, No.2:108-111

Romero, R., Chaiworapogsa, T., and Espinoza, J. (2003). *Micronutrients and Intrauterine Infection, Preter Birth and The Fetal Inflammatory Response Syndrom*. J. Nutr, 133: 1668S-1673S.

Saefuddin, A. (2002). *Buku Panduan Praktis Pelayanan Kesehatan Maternal dan Neonatal.* Jakarta: Yayasan Bina Sarwono Prawirohardja.

Simpson. *Tembakau: Ancaman Global*. PT. Elex Media Komputindo.   Jakarta.(2010).

Siswosudarmo. R., Emilia. O., (2008). *Obstetri fisiologi. Bagian Obstetri dan Ginekologi Fakultas Kedokteran UGM*: Pustaka Cendekia.

Sofian. A., (2011). Sinopsis Obstetri. *Obstetri Fisiologi dan Obstetri Patologi*. Jakarta:EGC.

Somani, R. R., Kalantri., (2010). Apostosis : *Concept Mechanism and Clinical Implications.* Accessed http://www.pharmainfo.net.reiviews/apostosis

Sukandar E. Infeksi Saluran Kemih Pasien Dewasa. Dalam : Buku Ajar Ilmu Penyakit Dalam Jilid I. Edisi IV. Jakarta : Pusat Penerbit IPD FK UI;2006.

Sweet, R., Gibbs, R. 2002. *Infectious Diseases of the Female Genital Tract*, 4th edition. Pennsylvania: By Lippincott Williams & Wilkins Publishers.

Verney, H., Kriebs, J. M., and Gegor, C. L. (2007) *Buku Ajar Asuhan Kebidanan*. Vol 1 Ed 4. Jakarta:EGC.

WHO. (1999). *Maternal Mortality Rate*.

Yudin. M. H., Money. D. M., (2008). S*krinning dan Manajemen Bakteri Vaginosis Dalam Kehamilan*. JOGC:211 : 702-706.

HASIL SPSS

KPD*PARITAS

KPD * PARITAS Crosstabulation

			PARITAS		
			PRIMI	MULTI	Total
KPD	POSITIF	Count	29	34	63
		Expected Count	28.0	35.0	63.0
		% within KPD	46.0%	54.0%	100.0%

			51.8%	48.6%	50.0%
		% within PARITAS	51.8%	48.6%	50.0%
		% of Total	23.0%	27.0%	50.0%
	NEGATIF	Count	27	36	63
		Expected Count	28.0	35.0	63.0
		% within KPD	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
		% within PARITAS	48.2%	51.4%	50.0%
		% of Total	21.4%	28.6%	50.0%
Total		Count	56	70	126
		Expected Count	56.0	70.0	126.0
		% within KPD	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%
		% within PARITAS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.129[a]	1	.720		
Continuity Correction[b]	.032	1	.858		
Likelihood Ratio	.129	1	.720		
Fisher's Exact Test				.858	.429
Linear-by-Linear Association	.128	1	.721		
N of Valid Cases	126				

KPD*ISK

KPD * ISK Crosstabulation

			ISK		Total
			POSITIF	NEGATIF	
KPD	POSITIF	Count	23	40	63
		Expected Count	16.0	47.0	63.0
		% within KPD	36.5%	63.5%	100.0%

			POSITIF	NEGATIF	Total
		% within ISK	71.9%	42.6%	50.0%
		% of Total	18.3%	31.7%	50.0%
	NEGATIF	Count	9	54	63
		Expected Count	16.0	47.0	63.0
		% within KPD	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%
		% within ISK	28.1%	57.4%	50.0%
		% of Total	7.1%	42.9%	50.0%
Total		Count	32	94	126
		Expected Count	32.0	94.0	126.0
		% within KPD	25.4%	74.6%	100.0%
		% within ISK	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	25.4%	74.6%	100.0%

KPD * ISK Crosstabulation

			ISK		Total
			POSITIF	NEGATIF	
KPD	POSITIF	Count	23	40	63
		Expected Count	16.0	47.0	63.0
		% within ISK	71.9%	42.6%	50.0%
	NEGATIF	Count	9	54	63
		Expected Count	16.0	47.0	63.0
		% within ISK	28.1%	57.4%	50.0%
Total		Count	32	94	126
		Expected Count	32.0	94.0	126.0
		% within ISK	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.210[a]	1	.004		
Continuity Correction[b]	7.079	1	.008		

Likelihood Ratio	8.430	1	.004		
Fisher's Exact Test				.007	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.145	1	.004		
N of Valid Cases	126				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 16.00.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for KPD (POSITIF / NEGATIF)	3.450	1.442	8.254
For cohort ISK = POSITIF	2.556	1.286	5.080
For cohort ISK = NEGATIF	.741	.599	.916
N of Valid Cases	126		

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for KPD (POSITIF / NEGATIF)	1.137	.563	2.297
For cohort PARITAS = PRIMI	1.074	.727	1.588
For cohort PARITAS = MULTI	.944	.691	1.291
N of Valid Cases	126		

LOGISTIC REGRESION

Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

Step	Chi-square	df	Sig.
1	.111	2	.946

Model Summary

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	165.989[a]	.067	.089

a. Estimation terminated at iteration number 4 because
parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 1[a]	ISK	1.250	.446	7.846	1	.005	3.492
	PARITAS	.187	.372	.254	1	.614	1.206
	Constant	-2.489	1.029	5.853	1	.016	.083

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: ISK, PARITAS.