CHAPTER IV

THE USE OF CHEMICAL WEAPONS IN SYRIA IN THE INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW

A. The Use of Chemical Weapons in International Humanitarian Law Perspective

The St. Petersburg Declaration and the 1899 Hague Declarations and Regulations were such early instruments of the prohibition of use of means and methods of warfare which cause superfluous injury and unnecessary suffering. The Geneva Gas Protocol on the prohibition and the use of chemical and biological weapons was originally motivated by its rule. Its reaffirmation in recent treaties, in particular Additional Protocol I, the Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons and its Protocol II and Amended Protocol II, the Ottawa Convention banning anti-personnel landmines and the Statute of the International Criminal Court, indicates that it remains valid. The rule is also included in other instruments.³³

The international humanitarian law prohibits the use of chemical weapons which caused superfluous injury and unnecessary suffer since the ancient era of warfare. Before World War I in 1899 has been agreed the rule of the use of chemical weapons in warfare by ratified the Hague Convention on the Laws and Customs of War on Land. Then, in the respect of the victims produced by the

³³Jean-Marie Henckaerts and Louise Doswald Beck, 2005, Customary International Humanitarian Law, Volume: I, Cambridge University Press. p.237.

practice of chemical weapons in the World War I, the world has agreed the 1925 Geneva Protocol on the Prohibition of the Use in Asphyxiating Poisonous, or Other Gases, and of Bacteriological Methods of Warfare. The crime on the use of chemical weapons in the present days has more developed. The 1993 Chemical Weapons Convention is the set of rule that regulated about the provision of the use of chemical weapons in the warfare which are more update and complete rather than 1925 Geneva Protocol. Besides that, the Rome Statute also helps give the guidance of the use of chemical weapons in the warfare, as explained in Article 8 about war crimes.

Based on the 1993 Chemical Weapons Convention, it has clearly mentioned in Article I Paragraph 1 that each State Party to this Convention never undertakes under any circumstances:

- To develop, produce, otherwise acquire, stockpile or retain chemical weapons, or transfer directly or indirectly, chemical weapons to anyone;
- To use chemical weapons;
- To engage in any military preparations to use chemical weapons;
- To assist, encourage or induce, in any way, anyone to engage in any activity prohibited to a State Party under the Convention;

In the Article 1 Paragraph (1) above, has mentioned clearly about the prohibition of the use of chemical weapons in any circumstances. Moreover it is causing death or injuries. Then, the problem has arisen when knows that Syria did notratified the 1993 Chemical Weapons Convention. Syria only ratified the 1925

Geneva Gases Protocol, but, even Syria only ratified the 1925 Geneva Gases Protocol. Its treaty also prohibited the use of asphyxiating, poisonous or other gases, and of all analogous liquids, material or devices. But, it is still prohibited in any situation to use the chemical weapons.

The chemical agents are allowed to be used based on Chemical Weapons Convention during the law enforcement agenda, it is been agreed clearly to use it during domestic riot control agents and the use lethal chemical for executions which allowed by national law. Although domestic law enforcement is beyond the international law's field of direct expertise, medical evidence shows that it is illusory to believe that rapid incapacitation can be achieved without certain level of morality. The pursuit of incapacitating chemicals for law enforcement could lead to their proliferation, to an "arm race" of measure and countermeasure among security forces, criminals and those who commit acts of terror.³⁴

The armed conflict in Syria which involves the practice of use of chemical weapons has been categorized as non-international armed conflict, because the conflict happened between the Government of Syria which led by Bashar Al-Assad, as the president against the opposition. In other words, the conflict can be concluded into a civil war. For the respect to non-international armed conflict or civil war, in the early 20th century, the Red Cross had sought to bring such conflicts quickly within the laws of war. However, many argued that non-international armed conflict or civil war in inernational costumary law were outside the scope of the laws of war and within the domestic domain.

³⁴ ICRC, 2003, First Special Session of the Conference of the State Parties to Review the Operation of the Chemical Weapons Convention, Reports and Documents, Vol. 85, Hague.

On 20 March 2013, in his letter to Secretary-general, the Deputy Prime Minister of Syria reported the alleged of the use of chemical weapons in Khan Al Asal and Aleppo governorate on 19 March 2013. In a response of the allegation of the use of chemical weapons in Syria, on 21 March 2013, the Secretary-General of United Nation decided to establish the United Nations Mission based on the authority extended to the Secretary-General by the General Assembly and endorsed by the Security Council. While, the purpose of United Nations Missions is to conduct a specialize impartial and independent investigation of the alleged the use of chemical weapon in Syria to gather relevant data, to undertake the necessary analyses and to deliver a report to Secretary-General towards the allegations of use of chemical weapons in Syria. On 26 March 2013, Professor Ake Sellstrom (of Sweden) has been pointed by Secretary-General as the Head of the Mission.

The Secretary-General requested to the Organization of Prohibition of Chemical Weapons (OPCW) to investigate and analyze, including to provide some experts to conduct fact-finding activities and in order to provide technical support in assessing public health, clinical, and event-specific health aspects of the alleged use of chemical weapons The Secretary-General had also requested to the World Health Organization (WHO). The other parties requested by Secretary-General to get involved in the investigation are the Government of France and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, into the alleged use of

chemical weapons in Khan Al Asal in Aleppo and Otaybah in the vicinity of Damascus on 19 March 2013, as well as in Homs on 23 December 2012.³⁵

Based on the conduct of the activities, research on the field and interviews from some witnesses, the United Nations Missions founded several allegations of the use of chemical weapons in 16 locations in Syria, as follows:

Khan Al Asal, 19 March 2013

Based on the United Nations report, the allegation on the use of chemicalweapons was on 19 March 2013 in Khan Al Asal, Aleppo governorate, the terrorist groups launched their rocket from the Kfar De'il which is 5 kilometers away from Khan Al Asal in Aleppo governate.

2. Otaybah, 19 March 2013

The civilians died and suffered from serious injury by the alleged use of chemical weapon reported by United Nation based on the letter dated 21 March 2013 from Permanent representative of France to the United Kingdom and the Deputy Permanent Representative of United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

3. Homs, 23 December 2012

The allegations of the use of chemical weapons in Homs on 23 December 2012 was reported by the Permanent Representative of France to United Nations and the Deputy Representative of United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland to United Nations by their letter dated on 21 March 2013. The Government of Qatar also reported the alleged use of

³⁵ See Final Report of United Nations Missions to Investigate Allegations of the Use of Chemical Wepons in the Syrian Arab Republic.

chemical weapons against civilians in Homs by its letter dated on 22 March 2013.

4. Darayya, 13 March 2013

Based on the report from the Government of the United Kingdom and Northern Ireland on the letter dated 26 March 2013 and the Government of Qatar in its letter dated 22 March, reported the alleged use of chemical weapons against unarmed civilians in Darayya.

5. Adra, 24 March 2013

In Adra, near Damascus, the Government of United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland on their letter dated on 23 May 2013 to Secretary-General, alleged the useof chemical weapons in Adra on 24 March 2013.

6. Darayya, 25 April 2013

The report from Secretary-General on 23 May 2013, alleged the use of chemical weapons in Darayya, near Damascus on 25 April 2013 and it was presented to the Head of Mission subsequently.

7. Saraqueb, 29 April 2013

Based on the report, accepted by Secretary-General dated 23 May 2013, alleged the use of chemical weapons at Saraqueb on 29 April 2013. And report from the Government of France to the Secretary-General in 27 June 2013, based on the course of consultation held with the Head of Mission in Paris on 4 June 2013, had indicated the use of Sarin, one of the element of chemical weapons.

8. Salquin, 17 October 2012

Dated 26 March 2013, alleged use of chemical weapons was reported by Government of France at Salquin, near the border of Turkey on 17 October 2012.

9. Sheik Magsood, 13 April 2013

The Government of Syria has alleged to use of Sarin gases against opposition in an attack on the Aleppo neighborhood of Sheik Maqsood on 13 April 2013. It has reported to the Secretary-General, based on the report from the Government of the United States of America by its letter dated on 14 June 2013.

10. Qasr Abu Samrah, 14 May 2013

Based on the letter from the Government of United States of America to the Secretary-General on 14 June 2013, the Government of Syria had used unspecified chemical weapons against the opposition in attack on Qasr Abu Samrah on 14 May 2013.

11. Adra, 23 May 2013

The Government of Syria was alleged to use unspecified chemical weapons against the opposition in an attack on Adra on 23 May 2013. It is reported by the Government of United States by their letter to the Secretary-General on June 2013.

12. Jobar, 12-14 April 2013

In the course of consultation held with the Head of Mission in Paris on 4

June 2013, the Government of France in its letter to Security-General

reported the allegations of the use of Sarin in Jobar between 12 and 14 April 2013.

13. Ghouta, 21 August 2013

The multiple Members of States reported the alleged the use of chemical weapons in the Ghouta area of Damascus on 21 August 2013, and requested to the Secretary-General to instruct United Nations Missions, then in Damascus, immediately conduct on-site investigation.

14. Bahhariyeh, 22 August 2013

At 21.20 o'clock on 22 August 2013, several soldiers in Bahhariyeh in the Eastern Ghouta region had inhaled poisonous gases and had complained respiratory and other symptoms, as well as itching and redness of the eyes. Eight teen of them had been immediately taken to Martyr Yusuf Al Azmah Military Hospital to receive emergency care. The report has been delivered by the letter from the Government of Syria on 28 August 2013 to the Secretary-General.

15. Jobar, 24 August 2013

The Government of Syria reported by its letter to Secretary-General that at 11.00 on 24 August 2013, a group of soldier had commanded to approached the building near river in Jobar, then they heard muffled sound and smelled o foul and strange odour, whereupon they had experienced severe shortness of breath and blurred vision. The Government further reported that in search in its building has found some materials, equipment's and canisters, based on the analysis from the laboratory

confirmed that one of the soil samples taken from that site had been contaminated by Sarin.

16. Ashrafiah Sahnaya, 25 August 2013

The Government of Syria in its letter dated on 28 August 2013 reported to the Secretary-General that at 19.00, cylindrical canisters had been fired using weapons that resembled a catapult at some soldiers in Ashrafiah Sahnaya area in Damascus Rif. It was reported that one of canisters had exploded, emitting a sound of medium loudness. A black, foul-smelling smoke had then appeared, causing blurred vision and severe shortness of breath.

From several allegations of the use of chemical weapons in Syria, the United Nations Missions concluded that chemical weapons have been used in the ongoing conflict between the parties in the Syria, also against civilians, including children, on relatively large scale. During the investigation, the United Nations Missions also conducted fact-finding activities for the most recent allegation, including visiting a military hospital in Damascus where in interviews survivors and other relevant witness; the assessment of the symptoms of survivors; the collection of hair, urine, tissue, and blood samples for subsequent analysis; the documentation of munitions and their sub-components identified by team.

The United Nations Mission decided to investigate further the following allegations of the use of chemical weapons in 7 of the 16 city in Syria that has been reported to the Secretary-General, based on the sufficiency and credibility of

the information from the Member States: Khan Al Asal of 19 March 2013, Sheik Maqsood of 13 April 2013, Saraqueb of 29 April 2013, Ghouta of 21 August 2013, Bahhariyeh of 22 August 2013, Jobar of 24 August 2013, and Ashrafiah Sahnaya of 25 August 2013. While, in the rest of locations that have been alleged, in addition is fore mentioned above, the United Nations Missions did not receive sufficient or credible information in respect of the alleged incidents in Salquin on 17 October 2012, Homs on 23 December 2012, Darayya on 13 March and 25 April 2013, Otaybah on 19 March 2013, Adra on 24 March 2013, Jobar between 12 and 14 April 2013 and Qasr Abu Samrah on May 2013.

The United Nations Mission concluded that from alleged in 16 cities, 7 cities are positively attacked by chemical weapons. Based on the conclusion of their report, as follows:

Ghouta, 21 August 2013

In the Ghouta found the evidence which shows that it was contaminated by chemical weapons by impacted and exploded surface-to-surface rockets, capable to carry a chemical payload, found to contain Sarin. On closed to rocket impact sites, in the area where patients were affected, the environment was found to be contaminated by Sarin. The epidemiology of over fifty interviewers given by survivor and health care workers provide ample corroboration of the medical and scientific results. From the blood and urine samples taken from the same patients positive for Sarin and Sarin signatures were found.

Khan Al Asal, 19 March 2013

Based on the epidemiology on the same witness statements of medical staff and military personnel participating in the rescue operation and on the documentation from the local health sector provided by Syria, it corroborates the occurrence of a rapid setting mass intoxication by an organophosphorus compound in the morning of the 19 March 2013. From the interviews with secondary exposed survivors symptoms of an organophosphorus intoxication is confirmed. Based on the information report provided by the States Members of United Nations Missions, it is indicated that the chemical weapons were used in Khan Al Asal.

3. Jobar, 24 August 2013

According to the interviews with survivors and clinicians and medical records symptoms of organophosphorus intoxication were confirmed. The blood samples covered by Syrian Government and authenticated by the United Nations Missions using DNA techniques tested positive signatures of Sarin and one of the four blood samples collected from the same patients on 28 September 2013 tested positive for Sarin.

4. Saraqueb, 29 April 2013

The information was collected from the interviews by treating clinicians corroborating the symptoms of organophosphorus intoxication. The other sources were collected fromsupporting witness statements and medical records substantiating the transfer of a patient from the Shifa Hospital in Saraqueb to a hospital in Turkey. The positive signatures of Sarin

collected from several organs of deceased victim recovered during autopsy performed in the presence of members of United Nations Missions.

5. Ashrafiah Sahnaya, 25 August 2013

The results of the research were collected from the interviews with survivors, medical clinicians and medical records confirmed the symptoms of organophosphorus toxications. Samples of the blood recovered by the Syrian Government on 24 August 2013, authenticated by the United Nations Missions using DNA technique, tested also positive for the signatories of Sarin.

6. Bahhariyeh, 22 August 2013

In the absence of blood samples, the Syrian Government and the United Nations Missions cannot corroborate the allegation that the chemical weapons were used in Bahhariyeh on 22 August 2013. But, from the information collected by interviews with the witnesses, on that day a group of soldiers reportedly fighting from a building in Bahhariyeh In that fighting they did not see an explosion from the munitions, just release of some blue-colored gas with very bad odour, which was moved to the soldiers direction brought by wind. It caused itching, redness eyes and other symptoms.

7. Sheik Maqsood, 13 April 2013

The United Nations Missions cannot corroborate the allegation that the chemical weapons were used in Sheik Maqsood on 13 April 2013. But, the

United Nations Missions remains deeply concerned that the chemical weapons were used in ongoing conflict between the parties in the Syria, which has added yet another dimension to the continued suffering of the Syrian people. According to the witnesses provided to the United Nations Mission by the UNHCR Commission of Inquiry, the alleged incident affected 12 persons and caused one death. The victims were allegedly transported to the hospital in Afrin for treatment.

The United Nations Missions did not rely on the samples, information and/or investigation reports presented to the United Nations Missions from the external sources, including from the Government of States Members. The United Nations Missions are independent and unambiguous to verify the chain of custody and the credibility of any such information, and the United Nations Missions did not rely on samples, information and/or investigation reports presented to the United Nations Missions by external sources, including by Governments of States Member.

Besides that, there is International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) which mission to guide by the interests and needs of Member States, strategic plans and the vision embodied in the IAEA Statute. There are three main areas of work underpin the IAEA's mission: Safety and Security, Science and Technology, and Safeguards and Verification.³⁶ IAEA has the objective to accelerate and enlarge the contribution of atomic energy to peace, health and prosperity throughout the world. It shall ensure, so far as it is able, that assistance provided by it or at its

³⁶ IAEA Mission and Programmes, see at: https://www.iaea.org/about/about-iaea, accessed on: May 7, 2015 at 01.18a.m.

request or under its supervision or control is not used in such a way as to further any military purpose.³⁷ The IAEA is an independent intergovernmental, science and technology-based organization, in the United Nations family, that serves as the global focal point for nuclear cooperation. The relationship of IAEA with the UN is regulated by a special agreement in terms of its Statute, the IAEA reports annually to the UN General Assembly and, when appropriate, to the UN Security Council regarding States' non-compliance with safeguards obligations, as well as on matters relating to international peace and security.³⁸ Based on the IAEA Mission Statement, this organization has several missions to:

- Assists its Member States, in the context of social and economic goals, in planning for and using nuclear science and technology for various peaceful purposes, including the generation of electricity, and facilitates the transfer of such technology and knowledge in a sustainable manner to developing Member States;
- Develops nuclear safety standards and, based on these standards, promotes the achievement and maintenance of high levels of safety in applications of nuclear energy, as well as the protection of human health and the environment against ionizing radiation; and
- Verifies through its inspection system that States comply with their commitments, under the Non-Proliferation Treaty and other non-

37 See Art. II, The Statute of the IAEA.

³⁸ Relationship with the United Nations, see at: https://www.iaca.org/about/about-iaca, accessed on May 7, 2015 at 01.10a.m.

proliferation agreements, to use nuclear material and facilities only for peaceful purposes.³⁹

Means that states over the world are allowed to develop the nuclear, chemical, biological or even the other atomic aspects, as long as for peaceful purposes. Moreover, Syria is already ratified the Statute of IAEA since 1963. Syria knows that the use of chemicals for the purpose of military is strictly prohibited, but Syria was breach its rule.

B. The Implementation of the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons in Syrian Case

After the announcement of the President of Syria, Bashar Al-Assad, used the chemical weapons to attack the civilians that killed more than 1,000 people in his country, the worlds' attentions focused on Syria. 40 The use of chemical weapons is prohibited in international and non-international armed conflicts ina series of treaties, including the Hague Declaration concerning Asphyxiating Gases, the Geneva Gas Protocol, the Chemical Weapons Convention, and the Statute of the International Criminal Court.

In the respond to prosecute the commander of the attack which involved the practice of use of chemical weapons in Syria, there is the 1998 Rome Statute. It is the international treaty that bounds every country or person in the world,

⁴⁰ Syria: Bashar al-Assad 'launching chemical weapons attacks with chlorine, see at: http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/middleeast/syria/10777059/Syria-Bashar-al-Assad-launching-chemical-weapons-attacks-with-chlorine.html, accessed on December 10, 2014 at 11.40 pm.

³⁹ The IAEA Mission Statement, see at: https://www.iaea.org/about/mission, accessed on May 7, 2105 at 10.56a m.

established by the International Criminal Court, a Tribunal with the power to prosecute the individuals for crimes against humanity, genocide and war crimes. The Statute however, does not contain the words 'chemical weapons' and 'biological weapons'. But, the statute has clearly prohibited the war crimes and crimes against humanity. The crime that could be included into Rome Statute must be both serious and of concern to the international community.⁴¹

Following the response of the use of chemical weapon in Syria, there must be an actor behind the Syria's conflict. Military army is only as a tool to run the policy to use the prohibited weapons, chemical weapon. The President of Syria, Bashar Al-Assad, as a commander of the attack used chemical weapon against opposition and civilian. He is fully responsible to the loss caused by the chemical weapons attack in Syria. In Part 3 Article 28 (a) of Rome Statute, sets out the rules on the responsibility of commanders and superiors of the military warfare, "A military commander or person effectively acting as a military commander shall be criminally responsible for crimes within the jurisdiction of the Court committed by forces under his or her effective command and control, or effective authority and control as the case may be..."

The applicable penalties for the person accused for war crimes referred to Article 5 of Rome Statute, and Court may impose one of the following penalties, imprisonment or fine, further explained in Article 77, under which the ICC may impose:

⁴¹ Kara Allen, et.al, 2011, Chemical and Biological Weapons Use in the Rome Statute: A Case for Change, Vertic.

1. The imprisonment:

- a. Specified number of years imprisonment, for maximum 30 years of imprisonment, and
- Life time imprisonment for heavy crimes and the individual circumstances of the convicted person.

2. In additional to imprisonment:

- a. Fine may be imposed under the criteria provided for in the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, and
- b. Property and assets derived from the crime would be confiscated directly or indirectly, without any prejudice to the rights of bona fide third parties.

After it is explained in the Articles above, Bashar Al Assad should be prosecuted within the crimes he committed by using the chemical weapons. But, reminds that Syria is not the state party of the International Criminal Court, Bashar Al Assad cannot be prosecuted since it known that the International Criminal Court cannot exercise the jurisdiction if a state does not ratified the Rome Statute and non-state party of International Criminal Court. The national court will also have primacy of investigation and prosecution unless that state is unwilling or unable to carry out the investigation or prosecution, the crimes may refer to the ICC's jurisdiction. ⁴² The President Bashar Al-Assad could only prosecute trough international customary law if the chemical weapons attacks in

⁴² John O'Brien, 2001, International Law, New York, Routledge Cavendish. p.762

Syria were referred to the Prosecutor by Security Council Referral.⁴³ Security Council Referral is governed by Article 13(b) of Rome Statute, its jurisdiction accordance with Article 5 if 'a situation in which one or more of such crimes appears to have been committed is refer to Prosecutor by the Security Council acting under Chapter VII of the Charter of the United Nations'.⁴⁴ Since where the use of chemical weapons causes death or great suffering, a prosecution may be brought to the ICC. An attempt in referral in relation to Syrian civil war more broadly was made in May 2014, when Security Council members China and Russia vetoed the relevant data resolution.⁴⁵ In accordance with Article 23 of the Charter of the United nations, Security Council consist of five permanent members which may exercise veto, China, France, Russia, the United Kingdom and the United States, and ten non-permanent members who are elected by General Assembly from among the membership of the organization to two-years terms.⁴⁶

In addition to the fact that the commander has to be prosecuted within the jurisdiction of the Court, the chemical weapons have to be destroyed, based on the 1993 Chemical Weapons Convention, which has been clearly mentioned in Article I Paragraph 2 to 4:

_

⁴³ Sheryn Omeri, 2015, *Chemical Weapons and the ICC*, see at: http://www.counselmagazine.co.uk/articles/chemical-weapons-and-the-icc, accessed on: May 2, 2015 at 10.45pm

⁴⁴ William A. Schabas, op.cit. p.168

⁴⁵ Ibid, Sheryn Omeri.

⁴⁶ Ibid. William A. Schabas

- Each State Party undertakes to destroy chemical weapons it owns and/or possesses, or those are located in any place under its jurisdiction or control...
- Each State Party undertakes to destroy all chemical weapons it abandoned on the territory of another State Party...
- Each State Party undertakes to destroy any chemical weapons production facilities it owns and/or possesses, or that are located in any place under its jurisdiction or control.

In order to enforce the law of war crimes, the chemical weapons and chemical agents should be destroyed as stipulated in Article I above whether it is located in any place under its jurisdiction or control, abandoned chemical weapons on the territory of another State Party and its located in any place under the jurisdiction or control including the chemical weapons production facilities it owns and/or possesses. The previous case on the use of chemical weapons is when the Iraq's Government used it in the Gulf War against Iran during the year of 1980s. In the 1991, the UN Security Council was established UNSCOM, a special commission to find and dismantle the Iraq's arsenal.

The U.N. imposed economic sanctions on Iraq that would be enforce until Iraq eliminated all nuclear, biological and chemical weapons capability. The UNSCOM would uncover and destroy Iraq's biological and chemical weapons and ballistic-missile program. It is more successful in its pursuit in Iraq's chemical weapons program because Iraq was more cooperative with its disclosure. The final report notes that a "significant number" of chemical weapons, their

components, and related equipment were destroyed under UNSCOM supervision between 1991 and 1997. Iraq acknowledged that it carried out a large scale chemical weapon program between 1982 and 1990. It claims that more than fiftypercent of its chemical weapons stocks were consumed during the 1980s, and that its majority of its production facilities were destroyed by aerial bombing during the Gulf War. Around 3,859 tons of chemical agents were produced during the entire of the implementation of its chemical weapons program, agents produced in large quantities included mustard, tabun and sarin, and that 3,315 tons of these agents were weaponized. Eighty-percent of the weaponized chemical agents were consumed between 1982 and 1988. In addition, they claim to have unilaterally discarded 130 tons of non-weaponized chemical weapons agents during the 1980s, UNSCOM found that these numbers could not be verified. Iraq claimed that it had 412.5 tons of chemical agents remaining. Four hundred tons were destroyed under UNSCOM supervision: 1.5 tons of the chemical weapons agents XV remains unaccounted for. After the Gulf War, Iraq declared that there remain over 56,000 special munitions which could carry either biological weapons or chemical weapons agents (22,000 filled, 34,000 unfilled), they were either destroyed or converted for conventional weapons purposes.⁴⁷

The Organization of Prohibition of Chemical Weapons (OPCW) also has regulated it in the Verification Annex of the 1993 Chemical Weapons Convention in Paragraph 12 Part IV (A), "The meaning of "Destruction" is a process by which

47

⁴⁷ Saddam Hussein's Weapons of Mass Destruction, see at: http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/shows/gunning/etc/arsenal.html, accessed on: May 3, 2015 in 09.50 a.m.

chemicals are converted into an essentially irreversible way to a form unsuitable for production of chemical weapons, and which in an irreversible manner renders munitions and other devices unusable as such". Every state which use or develop the chemical weapons should determine the destruction of chemical weapons and its facilities. The State Party which use the chemical weapons have to be responsible for the destruction of the chemical weapons and the methods and the process of the destruction should be verified under the provision of the Convention.⁴⁸

The United Nations Missions investigated that Syria is alleged to possess 1,300 tons of chemical agents, including Sulphur Mustard (Mustard gas), nerve gas Sarin, XV and their precursor ingredients. The United Nations Missions planned to get rid of them, supervised by the United Nation Security Council and the Organization of Prohibition of Chemical Weapons (OPCW). The planning of the destruction has been scheduled to finish in the end of June 2014. The Russian and US were dealt to eliminate Syria's arsenal which caused hundreds people died by Sarin gas attack in the Ghouta area outside Damascus on 12 August 2013. The chemical agent will be loaded to the US vessel and MV Cape Ray vessel and will be destroyed in international water at Mediterranean Sea.

Syria is responsible to transport the chemical agent from storage to the port in Latakia city, at that port, and all the chemical agents will be loaded to Danish Cargo vessel and taken to Gioia Tauro port in Italy. The first shipment of 16 tons of priority chemicals left Latakia on 7 January 2014 by Danish Cargo

⁴⁸ See Verification Annex of 1993 Chemical Weapons Convention, Paragraph 13-14 Part IV (A)

vessel, accompanied by naval escort from Russia, China, Denmark and Norway. Once, at container port of Gioia Tauro, 360 tons of material including sulphur mustard and DF (the precursor of Sarin) will be loaded to MV Cape Ray for additional shipment before eventually setting sail to international water at Mediterranean Sea for neutralization by hydrolysis system, because almost of the Syria's chemical weapons are in liquid form, rather than already placed in bombs or other munitions.

The MV Cape Ray as a neutralizer ship developed in 2013 by a specialist research wing of the US military, it is a former container ship that has been fitted out with two \$5m mobile hydrolysis system. While, during the hydrolysis the chemical agents will be broke down with hot water, further neutralized with sodium hydroxide or caustic soda or lye. Cape Ray can process 25 tones chemical agent per day by two titanium reactors inside the ship, depending on the material being treated. The procedure of neutralization of the chemical agents is expected to take 90 days and generate about 1,5 million gallons (5,7 million liters) of waste. The procedure of the neutralization involving 63 specialists crew worked on the decommissioning of chemical agents, in addition to the ship's normal crew and extra security personnel.

According to OPCW, the effluence of chemical agent after being neutralized is still hazardous, but in the level of toxicity it can be disposed of in normal industries processing and no chemicals will be dumped at Sea. And the hydrolysis effluent of chemical agent from Cape Ray and other chemicals removed from Syria will be destroyed at commercial facilities at the Veolia

facility at Ellesmere Port, Cheshire. Most of the effluent and chemicals agents will be processed at Port Arthur, Texas, at a plant previously used US's destruction of its chemical weapons. Meanwhile, Germany has pledged to incinerate the Sulphur Mustard waste from Cape Ray in the government's facility in Munster, Lower Saxony.⁴⁹

C. Result and Discussion

The prohibition of the use of chemical weapons has been clearly mentioned in Article 1 of the 1993 Chemical Weapons Convention as the latest and the most update agreement on the prohibition of the Development, Production, Stockpiling, and Use of Chemical Weapons, and on Their Destruction. The existence of the 1993 Chemical Weapons Convention is as the complement of the previous agreement of the prohibition on the use of chemical weapons in the warfare, which is the 1925 Geneva Gas Protocol of the Use of Asphyxiating, Poisonous or Other Gases, and of Bacteriological Methods of Warfare. Besides, the 1998 Rome Statute in Article 8 (b) (xvii), (xviii) and (xx) has also stipulated that the use of such weapons is prohibited.

The president of Syria, Bashar Al Assad, as the actor and the commander shall be punished, as it has stipulated in Part 3 Article 28 (a) of the 1998 Rome Statute. It sets out the rules on the responsibility of the commander and superiors, "A military commander or person effectively acting as a military commander shall

⁴⁹ Destroying Syria's Chemical Weapons, see at: http://www.bbc.com/news/world-middle-east-25810934, on November 29, 2014 at 8.50 pm.

be criminally responsible for crimes within the jurisdiction of the Court committed forces under his or her command and control, or effective authority and control as the case may be...". Moreover, the penalties of the war crimes as the jurisdiction of the ICC are further explained in the Article 77. The author concluded that the actor or the commander of the practice of use of chemical weapons in Syria, Bashar Al Assad, shall be punished within the jurisdiction of the ICC. And Bashar Al Assad is worth to be punished for the life time imprisonment, as explained in the Article 77 (1) point (b) for his crimes on the use of chemical weapons and causing thousands of people died due to his action. Another opinion of the author is precisely death penalty, because it caused many victims both died and injured and it could not be tolerated.

In the respect to the conflict which is involved the use of chemical weapons in Syria, the chemical weapons and its facilities should be destroyed as mentioned in theArticle 1 (2), (3) and (4) of the 1993 Chemical Weapons Convention, no matter it is located in any place under its jurisdiction or control, abandoned chemical weapons on the territory of another State Party and its located in any place under its jurisdiction or control including the chemical weapons production facilities which are owned or possesses.